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1 - What elements of Concept #1 do you like?

I like that there isn’t any new residential development.

Recreation

I live in the area and we do need the green space this provides.

I like the fact that it is all recreation. I believe that it is a recreation space now so it should remain one. We don’t get this kind of land opportunity very often so we should take advantage of it.

I love that it’s green space only, the city needs more of that.

Green spaces. Great for the neighborhood. We need pure green park since the green on college is gone.

We need more greenspace and recreation opportunities for our kids. This provides these types of opportunities to come to fruition.

I like all the green space.

No new building.

I like maintaining as much green space as possible in the city. We’re slowly losing more and more of our community owned Wascana Park .... it is just as shame commercial development is allowed .... there are so many others places .... keep our parks for our children ... they cannot be replaced.

We don’t have enough green space for this city. Don’t need low income townhouses will be run down within the year. With the number of condos, apartments, townhouses approx 500 up for sale or even for rent!! Why build more!! If it has to having building, senior complex. Otherwise leave as green space!!!!

I think this is the best use of the land, however, with the lack of revenue, I can see the area going to the dumps again like the golf course did.
Leave it as a golf course

That it stays a park green space, Regina shouldn't be trying to sell off all the public properties

That's there is a giant park

No housing, no living complex, let's keep green spaces green.

Recreation for the community

That there is no condos or senior home

Green and recreational space is being preserved and absolutely no commercial developments are included.

It leaves it open.

The fact that the green space will remain green and not be used to cram more houses/townhouses into

Large park area not needed. Not enough of a draw to make it successful.

Leaves the area as a green space as well as providing a recreation site for youth as well as others.

I prefer that there is no housing included with this plan. Regina is already becoming over developed. Keep it as a golf course/Rec area.

I like the recreation space and think the city needs more of that and in a variety of neighbourhoods. LOVE the spray park this area needs a more accessible spray park

The new soccer pitch, desperate need for good quality soccer pitches in this city

That there is no housing

I like the green space concept the most. We do not need any housing on that site.

All of it, we need more green space/parks and less buildings

Recreation area

I feel we have enough outdoor recreation sites in the city

The green space and mostly the soccer field

That you aren’t going to build housing

like the recreational aspect
I like that the land that Regent Park golf course is on, remains with the city as public land and that this land continue to be designated as green space/park for all to use. I believe the short term gain from selling off public land, is not worth the long term impacts. I believe taxpayers are fed up with the city and the province selling off/converting our green spaces into private land.

sports park and spray park, maintaining the space as a park free from development,

THE SPLASH PAD AND PLAYGROUND SEEM LIKE A WELCOME ADDITION TO THE AREA. MORE CHEAP/FREE OPTIONS FOR LOCAL KIDS WOULD BE GREAT! I WAS SURPRISED THAT DISC GOLF WAS IGNORED, DESPITE BEING ONE OF THE MOST TALKED ABOUT OPTIONS AT THE PREVIOUS MEETINGS.

There isn't enough parks in this end of the city - so it would be nice for those living in that area.

Retaining the green space and expanding the recreational space. And a spray pad not across town.

that it will only be for recreation

Love the rec space + green space!

I really enjoy being able to practice golf at the Regent Par 3. Other places are too expensive and I don't have the golf skills to justify spending that money, so being able to practice all the time at the Regent has been great. Last year I started to go every morning, since my cat woke me up so early anyway, and it was such a great experience that I bought a set of clubs through a work program at the end of the year. I am excited to try again and hopefully get better, but again I am not able to spend a lot of money at the other golf courses. I really enjoyed the chance to golf last summer at the Regent Par 3, and I have been waiting all winter to be able to get back out there. Thanks for your time and for that opportunity. I never knew that I would be so interested in golf, and I just can't to practice over and over again. I just need a big field that I want to practice hitting the ball at, I am open to any other suggestions if you had.

Not too much.

That it is exclusively for recreation activities. We need to get more people and children outside doing activities.

I like that it will be left as a park area. No to housing development. I like seeing the kids play basketball in the evenings and the pool being used in the summer.

I like the additional green space and walking pathways. I also like the additional recreation options. When I moved into Coronation Park, there was a Rec Centre but it got torn down for higher intensity housing. It's a stark contrast to Regent Park which is just across the Lewvan.

Everything

My selection. Don't want green spaces used for housing.
Recreation, only instead of the sport park this is the opportunity for the city to build a new double hockey rink complete with parking and then retire the old Kinsmen Arena. A similar plan should be looked into for the Optimist Arena in the south end.

Recreational only!!! Keep more parks and greenery in our city. There’s enough housing and development and not enough recreational park area.

Looks great – lots of options for all ages

I like everything about it. There should not be any residential units in that area, only recreational.

Lots of open space.

Spray pad and total use for recreation. Maybe finally put the money into this park area. It seems that we are becoming more willing to sell off assets, rather than developing new ones.

I think the idea of having a recreation only area is important. There are many outdoor sports leagues that could utilize the field area, such as football or soccer which would allow the city to make money off of this, as well as having open times to the general public.

Adding more much needed recreational areas for families, care givers, athletes and teachers to facilitate more good outdoor learning experiences.

Open space everyone can enjoy. The city has already torn down the recreation center that was on the corner of 3 Ave North and Wascana street and let low income condos be built. Our neighborhood is overcrowded already. We don't get street repairs. No street sweepings and now the city wants to build more. Maybe put some money into the existing neighborhood before adding more of a burden for those of us that own property here.


This is a great place for the new YWCA, too bad there was no consultation done like this for the Lucy Eley Park and old Victoria School parcel.

Love the greenspace

I want the Regent Park Golf Course to stay. I golf there all summer long. We don't have a golf course in the northend. It promotes reception!!!! Thank you.

What was for the people, should remain for the people. I like the idea of pathways and should connect to neighbouring pathways to make continuous systems. I really like the bridge to the ball diamonds

Nothing

The city has way too many condos already.
Nothing

The recreation area is great

I feel this part of the city needs this green space!!!

Keep this all green space. The city is constantly changing green space into housing and decreasing community access to green spaces which decreases activity and increases poor health. The north end list a great hockey rink and field space at WHFord to housing. Growing up in the neighbourhood that green space and rink were very popular and always full of activity. Put housing in the fields/outskirts of the city where the trees and great green spaces arnt developed yet.

Another artificial turf field is badly needed in Regina. I also like the leisure and children’s facilities.

Why are my taxes so high?

I love the idea of green space in Regina and not infilling every area we possibly can. I understand the challenges of that, but we can’t get back green space we remove. It just doesn’t seem to work that way.

Large green space with picnic area

Green. And the north needs more sports area. If you already have ball and basketball and swimming in the area. More sports will bring people to the area.

Would be nice area but would be nicer if city could generate some cash from the space. Not sure about any of the other concepts- more housing I think there is enough in the city already.

Keeping the green space.

Like the idea of Recreation Only as this end of the city don’t have much to offer for parks but I would also include in the plan an Off Leash dog park area so everyone would enjoy this area.

Keep the golf course please. I golf there regularly and it’s great to have a course in the north end of the city.

Green space, room to enjoy

No housing, retain park like setting.

None of it

Soccer field at par 3

Green space is important for the neighbourhood. The other plans look cluttered and increased housing will lead to more parking issues in the neighbourhood.
The overall strong use of the space for public use.

pickleball courts

Concept 1 is the only feasible concept. As a resident who lives on 3rd Avenue North, the noise contributed by the trains and the train crossing on Elphinstone street is too loud. Also the drag racing on McKinley Avenue is quite a problem in the summer months.

Green area

Green space for everyone

I agree with all aspects of concept one. Housing is not an option. If you erect housing tenants will complain about the noise and will likely win and the area for recreation will eventually diminish. There are many cities that wish they could have such a place like this. Remember that all this is on a city bus route and if promoted it can become one of the best facilities in the city. Please don't give in to housing developers, all they want is to build and sell there housing and move on. Recreation is not a priority for them.

We already have an astronomically high vacancy rate, we don't need more housing. We need to protect our existing green space.

No more buildings. More accessible green space.

It was a park and should be kept a park, RECREATION ONLY! Lets give our kids a place to go and have fun this area in Regina is sadly lacking in that!!

I like that there are no housing developments going in, the last thing this area needs is more rental units. I love the pathways and the green space actually being functional. I love the thought of a playground for the children in the area, I personally don't have children but I think it would be a nice addition to the space.

I like that concept 1 seems to be the only concept that doesn't ignore the feedback from the survey, and is the only concept that actually attempts to keep parks in our city.

I really prefer keeping our green spaces green......no residential/commercial development!

Please keep as outdoor space, no buildings please

Recreation space

Lots of space for recreation

Total recreation area. More space than the other concepts.

Open green space.

That it keeps green space. Promoting green is an investment not a cost.
Before I can comment on the three designs can you tell me if the United Nations 17 goals were embedded in any of the designs. Can you tell me if these designs address light, water and air quality pollution. I am also curious if the build environments will be part of the City of Regina's plan to reduce our GHG footprint. Thank you for your time and answering my questions.

I like all of them! We need more parks in this area, they are lacking.

Continuing to use the space as all green space for recreation.

Lots of green space. Not a strain on area with added traffic.

The fact it doesn’t add more housing in what I think is an already diluted housing market.

That the land isn’t being sold and the green space is not being lost forever. Right now it is depressing going for a walk in this area. With the park opened up citizens in this area will have a beautiful place to go for walks and be active on a regular basis. Many of the beautiful and mature trees will be preserved.

It’s a park, don’t take away a park in the city with townhouses or seniors assisted living. You can put homes on the outside of the city.

It’s a family activity area to get people together.

There would be many activities for a family or group to partake in all the same place.

The green space as a whole.

It’s not adding unnecessary housing to an already underpopulated city.

No housing of any sort.

I like that it does not include town houses.

The fact that there aren’t more houses/condos being built - we already have too many of those! Also, the fact even though it would appear that the city is hell bent on cutting down trees, this has the least green space lost.

None. We have enough green space and we don’t need additional costs. Unless the neighbourhood wants to pay rather than another increase to my taxes. $450/month is high enough already.

I like the idea of keeping what was a recreational area as a recreational area. We have enough condos in the city already.

Green space.

So disappointed that the city didn’t seriously consider at least part the Regent Par 3 for a decent dog park. All other cities within Saskatchewan and Alberta and I assume other provinces have more, nicer dogs parks. Most have at least one with play/exercise obstacles. Many have grass, paths etc. I have emailed the city many times. I know that
the survey the city did previously had many responses that expressed that we need another real dog park in Regina, not a drainage ditch that smells of oil and chemicals or a hockey rink with surface unsuitable for dogs paws. Please help! So many dogs, so little space!

Keeping green space in the city. We can expand outwards as much as needed. Pointless to destroy park areas in the city. You may not have noticed but the city is surrounded by open farm land

I like that it gives families a free place to go. It gives low income families the opportunity to still provide fun activities outdoors instead of indoors in front of gaming counsels.

No more condos or townhomes; lovely green space.

This neighborhood needs more not less recreation. We need to plan supervised Activities for the children and teenagers in the area or we will see more gang recruits and activity. Especially on non school days.

Recreational only

Please move the Aquatic Destination Park concept from Wascana Park to Regent Park. Wascana Park already is a Destination location. Regent Park has adequate space for the Aquatic Destination Facility and deserves to be a destination location. Anyone who I've talked to, who uses Wascana Pool, only wants a refurbished 50 meter swimming pool. Why does the City, even when it comes to recreation facilities, try to force developments that are financially excessive and lack any semblance of common sense. Please change direction and don't destroy more of Wascana Park with an Aquatic Destination Park.

Green space, lack of residential/commercial development

It's all greenspace I'm an area that lacks a safe place for families and children.

Keeping the green space.

That it adds to the green space of the city and adds to the natural scenery and habitat for wildlife

Keeping the park as a 100% green space. With the recent losses of green space at Wascana Park, we need to preserve the parks we have.

Very good layout

It is a pure green space and park with no form of housing included. Perhaps time for pure space without traffic and housing.

It is a pure green space and park with no form of housing included. Perhaps time for pure space without traffic and housing.

Recreation area! We don't need town houses that are low income in this area. These people don't care for their properties like they should. It's lowering values of our homes. We need more spray pads, parks and things for us to do.
That it is maintains and enhances recreation areas in the city

Like the park area

What a Great park to have in a neighborhood for everyone to enjoy with casual walking paths along with planned activity areas. I love all the activity areas but also appreciate the open space for adhoc activities such as freebies. The picnic area is great for people spending a long day at sports events in the multi-purpose area.

I spent my whole childhood years learning to play golf at the Regent Park par 3. I think that a recreational facility is the right choice in my opinion.

The city does not need more assisted living or townhouses. We presently have in the city a high vacancy for rental property. Keep the space green for recreation. In this area there is a shortage of green areas. Do not cave in to developers. Once the green space is gone there is no going back.

The limited maintenance required is a positive feature. The pathway is nice.

I like the green space for all ages to enjoy. I do not want to see any type of building on this site. As soon as housing comes into it there is the issue of parking. Why can’t our green spaces stay green. Our park is already in jeopardy.

Picnic areas, play areas, family oriented, natural spaces

All of them. This area of the city really needs more green space. The picnic areas and spray pad would encourage more families to use the area.

Love the idea of more green space and recreation areas.

Keep as much green space as possible

nice public space

I like that this is a fully dedicated green space with recreational aspects to it, they area needs more of this and more access for kids to enjoy themselves.

I really like the fact that there is no housing in this concept. Often the housing deteriorates which has an negative aesthetic affect on recreational areas

I like the recreational aspect and keeping the space soley for recreation. Regina lacks sporting facilities and this would be a great addition

a area for young people to be able to golf as the large golf courses are to expensive .we need more activities for teenagers to keep them able to afford to participate in.

A sports field and more pathways are always useful to a large number of community members. Just need to make sure there’s fencing or that the field is completely surrounded in trees, otherwise balls/frisbees will be flying off into neighbours yards and onto the rail line.
The fact there is no housing

The spray pad, we really need one. That pool is always busy and there is a ton of low income, young families in the area. We often talk about how new areas have very cool parks, dinosaurs, pirates. Our grass is here sucks :( We also don't need more housing in the area. There have been home and lots for sale in the area for years. We need a reason to move here into available housing.

That there is no housing involved... maximizing field space which is at a premium in this City

I definitely like the idea of the recreational space. I've been golfing there for years until it fell into disrepair. I've also used the pool and the Kinsmen arena. Make the whole area the Regina Sports Park. Keep the golf course it would be great for kids, novice golfers and intermediate golfers working on their short game. A soccer field would attract a lot of groups (adults and kids) looking for field time. Turn the soccer field into an outdoor rink in the winter because it's very hard to find any recreational ice time at any of the facilities in this city that aren't middle of the afternoon when most people are working. The clubhouse could be renovated and open the same hours as the sports park. Marketing this space would be the key for its success. I think most people who use these facilities would gladly pay a user fee or membership, etc. Keep Regina beautiful!

It's simply nature. I'd like to see a walking path included so people have an option to walk their dogs as well. No more buildings invading our green space please!

I like the cost recovery and revenue generation to the city and tax payers as well as the diversity of users it will attract.

Cheapest option,... keeps the openness of the area.

I like all the options that would be available to children. Lots of free activities which is very important in that area. It helps to keep kids safe and off the streets. Pools are great options but it would be even better to have a free spray park so that all kids can participate in recreation activities even if they can't afford to go to regent pool.

All

I feel the sports field and walking path concepts are great. This gives the area residents an opportunity to experience sports either as a participant or observer. I feel the picnic tables and playground equipment would be great additions also provided they are located close to a well-observed area to help protect against possible vandalism.

The city needs more quality athletic fields for recreational use (mind you the city doesn't take care of them and they turn to garbage anyway)

Green space

All the trees. Our kids need more nature in our city.

Love the recreation concept, no more housing needed here. We need the spray pad and park for the children and families in area.
Nature

I like that there’s lots of green space.

No buildings. Economy slow, housing not moving. Too much available on the current market.

I like the picnic areas, sports field, splash park, and all the trees

No housing

I like this one the most. I like the picnic areas, sports field, splash park. A nice family hang out

Cost Focus - healthy active citizens for all ages No unnecessary infill

Green space no housing. Let me guess with the other concepts; city partners with private. City pays most of the costs then practically gives it to private(friend of city council). Private now owns but then will rent it back to city!? Sounds familiar!

Keep all the trees just like the drawings no more apartments / oldfols homes. The tax burden on us in this city is already way to much for what we get. This drawing would give people places to go and enjoy the natural space

Green space retained. No additional housing or congestion

Recreation area, keeps the green space green. Usable for everyone. There are already so many senior complexes and townhouse areas that no more are needed

Green space should stay green space.

If Concepts #4 & #2 fail to come out on top, then my vote would be for Concept #1. North West Regina definitely could benefit from the extra green space & sports areas. We have many young families with young children moving into the existing homes in North West Regina & it would be nice if there was more opportunities for everyone to make use of this concept.

No residential

Love the recreation concept.

I like this concept the most as the next nearest park is AE Wilson. I love the addition of the spray pad outside the pool use and the playground and multi-function sports surface. I also like the new bridge over the storm creek. Considering the high density housing in the area, I think it would be nice addition to the Northwest. I do have one question - why is this concept the most expensive when all of the recreation components (spray pad, playground and multi-function athletic field) are constant for all four concepts? It seems to me it should be the least costly.

I like this concept most of all. Regina's green spaces have continually been doled out piecemeal so that the impact of their environmental, social and cultural benefits are
negated by economic development. The retention of this well-treed area is not only a health benefit to those living around it but is one of the few aesthetically pleasing spaces at the edge of Regina's north central area. The revenues generated are insignificant to the taxpayer who would once again be subsidizing private development at both an environmental and economic loss to Regina citizens.

The elements I like about this concept is that there is a park for the kids in the area. The children in our area are of low income families and single-family homes we need more places for them to play. The children and seniors in the area can use the pathways for exercise and recreation and just enjoying a bit of nature in the city. We are losing our green spaces and it is a shame.

Retaining this area as greenspace and sport/recreation space is an excellent choice.

I love the area being used for park green space, playgrounds and family friendly environment.

Green space, playground, spray park, walking trails

It's a great area with grass, trees and shade...its already fenced in so a great place to let the kids run and I think families would enjoy just going for a panic there as well.

Part dog park with no water access

Regina needs green space for family, friends and recreation. Wascana is wonderful but not easily accessed by many families. There needs to be parks accessible to people living in all areas of the city. The City has contributed greatly to the Rough Riders and Pats. Many families need green spaces for community involvement and family recreation that is affordable for all such as picnic tables, grass area, bbq areas, spray park, and child play structures.

Green space!!!

I appreciate this area being a recreational green space and we need another dog park that is fully fenced in, unlike the 13th ave one. Thank you!

I like that is a purely recreation area - we need more areas like this in our city.

That this is being used for citizen recreation

I like that this option is all rec, because it would be a shame to see the neighborhood lose its green space. The playground and splash park look great, but perhaps the splash park would make more sense next to the pool.

I realize that keeping the golf course is not listed as an option but just the fact that it is open now and is being used should make it a consideration. I have owned a home and lived in North Central Regina for 31 years. In the last 5 years or so I have watched my taxes more than doubled. I have considered moving numerous times but have so far always decided to remain in the community and make the best life that I can. I really only started to utilize the Golf course in the last couple of years. I have always been a very active sports nut and over the course of my life have been involved in just about every sport imaginable. Now that I am over 50 Golf has become a very popular sport.
with my friends, myself and people I know. I have not checked the census lately but I know from living here that there are still a lot of older people that live here, own their homes and need exercise. You don't see many of them around because they hide in their houses from the doom, gloom and violence. The Golf course for me has been that one bright spot in the area. Even though the course is a little run down it has been a fantastic source for exercise and just somewhere to get away from all the B.S. going on in the neighborhood. My dad is 94 years old and he golfed 4 or 5 times a week on that course until he was 90 years old. Although run down, this is still a great course. Great for people learning to golf. Great for parents teaching kids to golf and great for people just to have fun.

Like the idea of a large green space.

Green space

I think it's great to have lots of green space. Lots of activity space.

That it is completely recreation without housing. I like the pathways. Playground, water feature, bbq area.

lots of green space and recreation facilities. No crowded cheap town houses that are just going to get run down. I thought I would like Concept #1 the best, but after seeing the designs, Concept #2 with the seniors complex has a slight edge.

2 - What elements of Concept #1 would you change?

Nothing

Add more trees.

Nothing

I like everything!!

See above

Revamp the pool and recreation building

ultimate frisbee area

Concept #1 - Recreation Only

Powered by CheckMarket
Keep the par 3 🏌️. I like the picnic areas, expand it to have more tables and a play area for kids. Please ensure that it is all has lighting. AND it would be great if the bike/walking path through the city had lights too!!! So people can use it safely at nighttime and in the winter when it gets so dark so early.

Play structure? Ball diamond.

Nothing.

Nothing at all

The green space should be low maintenance as it appears the city is unable to maintain these spaces effectively and efficiently

Nothing really. Maybe Dog park, upgrade pool with more trees surrounding, walking paths, water feature with benches to relax and enjoy the scenery.

No tennis Court just a green space would be fine if you can’t keep it a golf course

This concept isn't a very meaningful use of the space. The northwest corner as an example, is very sparse.

I would add in a fully fenced off leash dog park with some water areas - spray pad for the dogs

I would keep a golf course, since it is low maintenance, and provides a needed service. I use the Par 3 course several times, and have appreciated playing with my son often.

We asked for the golf course but that apparently was never an option.

As long as there is no residential development I would not change it

None.

Needs to be a big dog park. We need more dog parks. Nice dog parks. Not rinks in the summer. More dog parks!

Nothing

Needs another draw to bring in people

The cost seems a little excessive.

We could use a few ball diamonds if it (booking ball diamonds is difficult in our short summer window). Also a skate park since there isn't one nearby

Nothing. It's very pretty.

Nothing. Keep it Rec. Living can always be added if needed in future. Higher revenue to pay off changes if market moves back up in future.

Concept #1 - Recreation Only

Powered by CheckMarket
I'd add washrooms for sure because you have a splash park and sports field. You want people to spend time there. I'd add more picnic and barbecue areas to the south of the sports field. I'd also add a parking area.

Add some natural beauty with gardens or a water feature like a pond or waterfall

I'd add in more barbecue picnic areas to the south of the sports field. I'd also incorporate some parking.

The cost which I'm assuming will increase our taxes again.

Bike path

I would build an indoor facility like Moose Jaw's Yara Center. So the public can use the field year round

Resume the amount of trees needed to be removed

Include a tennis court as well

Add a spray park or playground.

Possibly review to see if you can fit 2 soccer pitches

Leave it as a golf course

We should have a mini golf somewhere in the city. Every other city has way more stuff to keep our young people off the streets

Nothing

None

Add pickle ball court and washroom facilities

Why isn't a dog park included in these plans? You are well aware that there is both major demand for more dog parks and room for one in this plan.

I would designate the sports field to ONLY be a soccer field. We desperately need good quality soccer fields in this city so it should only be used for soccer and not be rented out to other sports like ultimate frisbee

I would add a disc golf course. There is only one other in the city, in the south east end. A North end option would be appreciated. Saskatoon has three courses. Regina is behind at providing facilities to play disc golf.

I would like to see more of a water park area with more family activity area

Add in community gardens for people to grow food. Possible add in Pickleball courts.

Concept #1 - Recreation Only
it would be nice to have a number of areas picnic areas with pedestal BBQ's

DISC GOLF WAS INCLUDED IN 11 OF THE 21 PLANS FROM THE COMMUNITY DESIGN WORKSHOP, AND DISC GOLF WAS NUMBER ONE RESPONSE IN YOUR OWN SURVEY ON DESIRED RECREATIONAL FACILITIES THAT SHOULD BE ON SITE, SO NOT INCLUDING IT IN THE RECREATION PLAN SEEMS TO BE A MAJOR OVERSIGHT. THE CURRENT DISC GOLF COURSE IS WELL USED, AND IT WOULD BE CHEAP AND EASY FOR THE AREA RESIDENTS. THE MULTI-USE SPORTS FIELD WOULD REQUIRE CUTTING DOWN A LARGE AMOUNT OF THE TREED AREA; MANY PEOPLE AT THE PREVIOUS MEETING EXPRESSED A DESIRE TO MAINTAIN A NATURAL FEEL FOR THE AREA. BUILDING A DISC GOLF COURSE WITHIN THE EXISTING TREES ENVIRONMENT WOULD BE DRAMATICALLY CHEAPER THAN SPORTS FIELD. THE POOR KIDS WHO ARE LOCAL TO THE AREA WILL NOT GET MUCH BENEFIT FROM A SPORTS FIELD THAT WILL BE BOOKED UP BY EXPENSIVE PAY TO PLAY PROGRAMS.

nothing

None, it is the way it should be developed.

Don't add any housing

I have met with some people who are in the Disc Golf community, and I think we can try and share the Regent Par 3 greens with Disc Golf.

If you want recreation only there is a lot of wasted space. You could probably put in two cricket pitches, one to the west of the multi-purpose field and one to the north. Or one cricket pitch and something along the line of some tennis courts, basketball courts, beach volleyball courts, etc.

I would change the spray pad into a skateboard park. Spray pads are really only utilized for 2-3 months in the summer. A skateboard park can be used year round, as long as there is transitions for snowboards and snowskates for the winter months.

Does not matter what we like or would like to change the city will do as they please.

Add in monies to fix the streets in the surrounding neighbourhoods. They are terrible and have been badly torn up due to all of the construction in recent years.

Nothing

Change the park to a new double hockey Arena facility, and add parking for the same. Retire the Kinsmen Arena when complete. Build the park on the old Kinsmen arena site.

Essentially nothing. Keep it green!!

Garbage can the sports field. Too much of the vital space used for something that is used only occasionally. Do NOT include a sports field. But you should include an area and set up that also lends itself to things like dog shows etc.

Ensure there is adequate parking - hard to tell from the drawing.

Add a swimming pool.

*Concept #1 - Recreation Only*

*Powered by CheckMarket*
Public washrooms if you want families to actually use the area. Cost is rather high.

Regina desperately needs more easily accessible outdoor recreation, like Disc Golf. Disc Golf is affordable and can be played by all ages with little training, in addendum for life long health, wellness and appreciation for nature.

Leave it alone and spend the money on existing neighborhoods that are run down and in disrepair. Wascana Street has loads of potholes and crumbling streets. Why ignore us and keep building?

Lots of unstructured open space that will not be fully utilized.

This is a great place for the new YWCA, too bad there was no consultation done like this for the Lucy Eley Park and old Victoria School parcel.

None of it

I would consider adding a parking lot to increase parking area instead of relying completely on street parking I would consider adding a cricket field along with a soccer field I would consider connecting the pathways from the West side of Lewvan I would consider a outdoor hockey rink with boards and change room

SAVE THE PAR 3

Nothing

The city should generate revenue from this work.

Cost - like other options better

I would keep a pool incorporated in the design. There is a new sports field being built at Albert-Scott ...why put two so close together. Why not built assisted living and housing where Taylor Field once was? Is it not enough that Wascana Park has been been tapered with.......what will happen when there are no green spaces left? Just wondering why the poorer parts of the city must be sacrificed?

I'd make two soccer fields

Nothing. Looks great.

I would perhaps add an area for a small dog park. There are now a couple (that I'm aware of) dog parks, but they are frequented by people with large dogs that don't always have control of them.

Very little except to enhance features that would attract more area resident users to it. 'Parks are for People' - not bargaining chips for economic enhancement. Where was the city's concern for revenues in the face of subsidization of amenities for Regina's largest welfare recipients, the Riders, or the building of an overabundance of massive overpasses for a poorly designed and implemented transportation strategy? And what is our apartment and condo vacancy rate? Is it so low that we need to yet again overbuild
housing without any thought to converting existing vacant housing space for senior citizen or low-cost housing use?

One concept that is not mentioned as a possible fenced area for a dog park. The dog parks that we have are far from this area.

Revise to include a secure off-leash dog park.

Please consider the exercise and recreation needs of the many dog owners of the area of the city served by this space. With more than 12 acres available, a 2 acre off-leash dog park, fully fenced and available year round is not difficult to incorporate. Locating it anywhere in the east, north east, or along the northern edge of the space will have no impact on residences in the area. An L-shaped space of 2 acres, with trees and paths, in the north-east corner seems a logical location. Additionally, there is very limited parking for a recreational area likely to attract hundreds of parents and grandparents when the athletic field is in use for tournaments etc. Congested street-only parking is a safety and access concern to the residents in the area as well as to users of the recreational space. Check Kings Road during weekend use of the park there, or the challenges for residents in the stadium area for examples of these concerns when parking is not well planned.

Would love to see a new dog park in this space that is a fully fenced area with no creek, lots of trees, benches and possibly an area that could be used for agility.

Na

Recreation area, would like to see an off-leash dog park also incorporated in the design so the kids and animals have a place to play.

Would like to see perhaps an off leash dog park in this spot, especially one that has no body of dirty water in it. We are really lacking a nice space for a dog park. I'm a member of the OLDPUG dog park group. Have been going to the 13th Ave dog park for 11 years now, but would really like to see a nicer, waterless option with some trees. Any one of these concepts would work, I'm sure.

Nothing.

I'd love to see another off lease dog park in the city. I can't use the park by the airport as my dog goes in the muddy water and right out the other unfenced side. There are so many dog owners in the city - let's add a dog park in this nw location.

What about an off leash dog park that is comparable to every other city.

I would like it to include the extension of the walking paths to connect with path system in Regent Park and Normanview - it's really important to create long, continuous park trails for walking, jogging and biking. Also, I'd like to see another dog park in this plan. Regina is very underserved in terms of dog parks and we have the park dedicated to small dogs. This is a really important issue that should be addressed.

THERE NEEDS TO BE A DOG PARK ELEMENT! A fully fenced dog park is a must. We are lacking behind every major city in our country. Moose Jaw has better dog parks than Regina.

Concept #1 - Recreation Only
Powered by CheckMarket
This area would be great for disc golf! The trees area would provide a fun challenge, when compared with the douglas park course, which is largely open. It seems like a shame to mow down so many mature trees I. Order to do the multi purpose field. This option is extremely expensive, and it may make more sense to put fields in an area that is already open and flat. Kind of seems like the people working on the development designs chose the field to jack up the rec costs as much as possible in an attempt to spur support for selling the land. The majority of residents do not want new buildings in the area. Instead of artificially boosting the cost of the rec plan, just give them what they want.

Please consider keeping the Golf course open. There is already lots of stuff in that little area for the younger people. Skating Rink, swimming pool, Basketball court, play grounds etc.

Add a fenced dog park. Also, would like to know the expected annual costs for upkeep which I assume would fall under the city of regina's responsibilities. Don't like the lack of revenue offset for this option.

Add a fenced dog park

inclusion of disk golf, all season washroom, lawn bowling, connect the pathways to the city system instead of them being stand alone

nothing really.

It would be great if there was a way to include a skating rink for the winter.

This is a money pit. There is no revenue from land sale and even worse, no ongoing tax dollars. This is not helping the city be sustainable.

Non but I prefer option #2 that has a seniors complex which is badly needed on this area.

Add tennis courts, pickle ball courts and a running track around the entire site.

I would add an Off Leash dog park

Perhaps a second area for playing.

Add a fully fenced off leash dog park with amenities

Leave the land as is or allow the disk golf association to turn all of it into a disk golf course with paths, a playground and benches for the community to enjoy.

n/a

The cost is my only concern here. Otherwise it is the second best option in my opinion.

MORE pickleball courts
I would like an off leash dogpark included. In fact for any of the 4 options I would like an off leash dogpark! I do prefer option 1 with dogpark.

I would add a mini golf area and a skate board area. Mini golf is beneficial to all members of a family, big and small.

Connect the walking paths to the existing path network at the nearby ball diamonds.

My only concern with this is lighting on the walkways and green space. There is an abundance of drug use and prostitutes using the back alley in inappropriate ways.

I think it would be nice if the city used this space to uphold their promise from years ago to provide more adequate off-leash dog park space. It would be easy to build and cheap maintain. The increased usage would help deter crime in the area we well. It's odd that a soccer field is included when it's one of the least desired uses of the space. I also find it odd that the city thinks they will spend 2.3 mil plus to turn a golf course in to a park...

About the only item to add would be some barbeque pits and corresponding picnic tables.

None, less paved paths and more natural of an area.

Disc golf course perhaps.

Add an off-leash dog park. There is plenty of room to add a dog park and still have space for all the other recreation opportunities.

No spray park the idea is getting young people active when these types of places are only for toddlers while their parents sit mindless in their phones. The neighbourhood is more of young family's and young adults build something that all can use like a skate park or bike park something low maintenance so it doesn't cost more and more like a spray park would build something for the community not just something you can rinse your hands with.... There is pool right next door.

We are in desperate need of another dog park especially one with an area for small dogs.

I would like to add a fenced dog park - options are so limited in Regina. This would be a really really great asset.

I would keep it as a par 3, it was a very fun place.

Put in a fully fenced off-leash dog park. Wait to build the Athletic field. A dog park would cost far less than maintaining an athletic field. The vehicle traffic to the residential area would be less of a strain. The off-leash area would be a crime deterrent for the area (dog owners would be there using the park and this would deter crime when the athletic field was not being used). I'm not making this up. Other cities have done this very thing to reduce crime in areas with a chronic problem. Crime is a problem in this area and an off-leash area would be part of the solution to this. And, the City would be fulfilling a promise made many years ago: to provide more off-leash areas. The City has deflected this onto the developers but this has not worked. Now is the time. Please. There is plenty of room for a large off-leash area with one area for small dogs. The City can generate revenue by selling ad space (e.g. on fencing, benches, etc.) and getting sponsors to pay for amenities. I would be the dog park users would be willing to pay for the amenities to.
be built. It could be something that the City charges to use to generate revenue to pay for this. There must be a way to do this. If the City has the desire. How many voters do you need to sign a petition for this?

A Disc Golf course

There is no need to take out beautiful mature trees to make a flat field. There are plenty of other locations that are already treeless and flat where this could be done. Leave the trees alone and save the green space and money.

Nothing! Leave it a park. Build homes on the outskirts of the city

Add more walk path for exercising.

Picnic area and splash pad be closer together. As a mother of young children, this would be my main objective at their age. Have a picnic table to eat or sit at while they splash around.

I would add a dog park.

Maybe hills and a biking trail.... something more intense than a regular walking path. Not paved, but worn paths instead.

Nothing

A permanent disc golf course

Add revenue component to at least make cost neutral

Encompass a mini golf course into it. Make it family friendly

None

Add a decent sized dog park. Thanks.

Don’t spend 2.4 million dollars, use what is there already.

None

None

None. No more condos or townhouses as we have overbuilt townhouses and condos.

Leave as par 3 golf course with more maintaining

Just about everything see above.

No sports field, leave the trees alone, include a dog park

Picnic tables close to and around where children would play so parents can see them

Concept #1 - Recreation Only
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Add a playground basketball court things for kids and adults to do

Add in pollinator friendly gardens and or ponds for waterfowl and native water plants

Spend a little less

If the budget allows it, build another activity centre and put “ping pong”, badminton, gym, and stores,

I’d keep it a golf course. Put a Tim Hortons in the parking lot. Or make the pool, basketball nets and the “golf course” one area. The put up bbq stations picknick tables, play structures a fenced in dog park. Place some sort of commercial zone and people will come and spend money just to get in and spend money.

But...space needed for seniors

1. I think Parking needs to be included somewhere in the plan. On street would not be enough when there is a tournament going on on the multi purpose field and people at the pool, basketball area and splash park. With the ball diamond on the other side there is not enough parking and people are parking along the streets which could impact parking for this area as well. Parking all along the Alley would not take much space away from the park but would provide much needed parking. 2. Consideration for washrooms need to be included. We spend time in the ball field on the other side of the storm drain and kids and even Adults often cannot make it through a sports event without going to the washroom. You have to jump on the car and go find a washroom. 3. Not sure if there is much room for Spectators at the multi purpose field. Some stands along one side would be awesome. 4. It would be nice if the picnic area is spread out so there are picnic sites in a variety of locations within this green space much like Wascana park on the east side of broad street.

I still like a golf course renewal plan even though I don’t live in that area anymore so our grandchildren will never use the space. Having said that I think the CN tracks when the trains are moving make the experience less attractive. Again in my opinion...

The sports field is likely unnecessary. It would get more use as a public green space. However, there is a park just north on Elphinstone. Does this green space need to exist at all or can this land be sold too?

It looks good. Make sure you have adequate benches for people

More natural elements. Include a drinking fountain (if not included)

The sports field may not be necessary if the cost is too high and it will only be utilized for a few months out of the year. I believe that the golf course would have been very busy if the city had kept it up. Nobody wants to pay to golf when the fairways and greens are covered in weeds, branches and pine cones.

Would be nice if the north end by the ball diamonds had a small par 3 or soccer field, perhaps a skateboard park or outdoor basketball court??

Some art or fountains

*Concept #1 - Recreation Only*
way too costly, concern for how much use this would get in that area, upkeep costs high

It would be nice to see areas of more diverse activities considering the socioeconomic
climate that surrounds the area. The children and adults should have the same access as
the more affluent neighborhoods.

Add pickleball courts

I would add more facilities. Ball diamonds, a hockey rink. Something similar to the gordie
howe sports complex in Saskatoon.

Remove splash pad; they already have a pool right next door! For safety reasons, I have
reservations about placing a playground right next to train tracks.

Put a dog park in there, there is only one or two in the city currently

Love the idea might move the athletic field over to allow the picnic area to be between
the play area

Add a small change/locker room facility. Don’t cheap out. Make this a place that can used
for a long time

See above. Walking path.

Maybe add a spray park or pond or picnic area. Maybe consider a series of bike paths or
walking trails around the property and through the wooded areas.

None

The spray pad is a TOTAL WASTE of water and funds!! I cringe when I see this type of
waste of a valuable natural resource. We should impress upon our youth the many
opportunities to conserve this valuable resource rather than wasting it on activities that
simply see the water literally ‘evaporate into thin air’. Shame on the city for presenting
such a wasteful activity! I suggest the sports field would be less likely to attract vandals
so I would ensure playground equipment and picnic tables are in highly visible areas. An
off-leash dog park would be a great and necessary addition to the plan. It could be
located closer to the storm channel and away from higher usage areas. The spray pad
could be replaced by a community garden to encourage activity and home-grown
produce. My main objection to a recreation use only concept is that THIS CONCEPT
WOULD BE A HUGE DEBT FOR THE CITY. I, AS A TAXPAYER, DO NOT SUPPORT THIS
EXPENDITURE AND DO NOT AUTHORIZE THE CITY OF REGINA TO CONSIDER CONCEPT

#1

Maybe add a baseball diamond for rec league sports?
Concept #2 – Seniors’ Assisted Living + Recreation Facilities
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1 - What elements of Concept #2 do you like?

Affordable senior living, with activities seniors can enjoy. Plus the green space they can enjoy.

This concept is my second choice as we are in need of a seniors facility - assisted and higher level care in the north end. I would hope this would incorporate both publicly funded along with corporately funded senior care housing. I understand that this would help fund the costs. Concept #1 is my first preference however.

Maintaining more than 50% greenspace in this plan is good.

Regina can really use the additional seniors complex, but having the recreational park, pathways and picnic areas is even greater.

I do not mind this concept as it generates some revenue plus still emphasizes greenspace and recreation. If option 1 is too costly, I could live with option 2.

Everything.

The green space.

I believe more seniors care is ... and .... will be needed as this demographic grows. Seniors care facilities are a good idea

Regina needs more seniors housing

I think this is the most feasible plan, however I think if there is young people using the recreational areas and making noise will cause issues for some seniors.

All of it. More need for senior care in the city especially near green space.

It's not townhouses the market is already flooded

I like concept 2 with the senior complex and rec options. Definitely need more rec options in the city.
I vote for this one

I like that it generates income but still able to improve the park for recreation.

Seniors' Assisted Living in close proximity to recreation and green space; I very much like this concept.

The city needs more affordable Seniors housing (we do not need more townhouses or condos). The combination use is perfect for both seniors housing & recreations and tie in well together.

The recreation facilities and green spaces.

I like this version because there's SOME residential, but not a ton. The trees, pool, park, playground and sports pitches are awesome too.

I like this concept. Much needed.

I like then senior's living concept with the integration of sports and other activities.

The seniors complex  A place for the kids to play :spray park

This seems to be the best use for this property. It provides much needed senior living space as well as keeping it green and a place for all to enjoy.

Need more quality care facilities and a park.

I like this concept because it still leaves most of the area as green space. It also includes much needed senior housing as long as it is affordable....also the sales of the land covers the cost of developing the green space. Also like the fact that the housing units are set back from the train tracks which makes it more desirable for people to move into the complex without having to worry about the noise and rumbling of the trains passing by.

Generates revenue. Helps house seniors in need of assisted living. Good marriage of taking care of our seniors and intermingling generations.

The seniors couples and the recreation facilities

Soccer field and care for seniors near a nice outdoor facility

If you only want seniors' housing this plan isn't too bad.

Our city needs more retirement residents for our aging population. I like how there is still going to green space within the city and how it may get more use with a seniors complex as well.

I like that this plan keeps nature, greenery and trees in the city. Too many new developments are not planting trees, grass or any sort of natural elements which makes them cold and sterile feeling and uninviting to live or walk in. This plan is a great mixed use of the space. I like the idea of a seniors residence as I feel there are way too many townhouses in the city already.

Concept #2 – Seniors’ Assisted Living + Recreation Facilities
I like adding a senior's complex - our aging society can never have too many of those. I also like that some green space is maintained. If you must build something, I can deal with this option.

I like the element of the seniors assisted living complex, with my partner in Geriatric Medicine this is a necessity this City needs ASAP. We can not support assisted living for Seniors enough, our population is aging and we are going to run into major issues with out proper care for these people. The major success for keeping seniors healthy is also keeping them active, getting them right beside this park will give them access to walk around and slowly change the dynamics of the area.

I like that it would generate revenue rather than be a cost to the tax payers unlike Concept 1. I also like that it would be an accessible green space for community use. Concept 3 has too much housing and not enough green space.

I like this concept the best of the 4. The seniors complex, recreation fields, spray park, and picnic area. I think this area of town could really use this. As someone getting close to senior age I like the idea of being able to see children playing out my window or perhaps on a wheelchair ride.

I like the idea of having more Senior living complexes. We need more of those. I like that this is aligned with parks and recreation facilities. We don’t need to include any more townhouses, by sacrificing green space.

Seniors need more places in Regina. It would be nice to have the park around to walk/wheel around Kids would still have access to recreation

We need more housing for seniors. Our population is aging as well as our housing for seniors. This would enhance our seniors lives considerably, a place where they could spend time outdoors, physical activity for those who were able would add to the quality of their lives.

Seniors Complex.

I like the senior housing concept but it needs to be affordable! Not another Revra run place that charges 4000 to 5000 a month to live there! It would be great to have a new pioneer village care home there but unlikely that the provincial government would pay for it

We are in dire need of more low income seniors housing. A new pioneer village facility. If a seniors complex is built at all, it needs to be low income.

Keeping the open green space and bringing in recreational elements. Love it.

Senior living complex. Splash pad. Attractive price.

Everything

This is a balanced approach that supports to growing demand in the city for Senior Residences.
Out of all the plans incorporating housing this is the least offensive. It still provides for lots of recreational opportunities for north central.

combination of recreation and seniors

This is a great place for the new YWCA, too bad there was no consultation done like this for the Lucy Eley Park and old Victoria School parcel.

The area needs these types of sports facilities and the seniors complex. The cost makes the most sense. Do not need any more condo type housing in that area.

I like the Seniors’ Assisted Living Complex - greatly needed. The added bonus is the Recreation Facilities. I like the splash pads. I really like the fact that it might actually generate revenue for the whole project. This by far is the plan I like the best.

i like the idea of a senior living space. It will draw people to the green space and utilize the space a bit better.

the recreation area that is badly needed for this area, along with a senior complex that is also badly needed in the city. With the mixture you get revenue to offset the cost and get a mix of two areas. Along with reducing some of the park space this would minimize future maintenance costs for the City.

It allows the surrounding community a chance to walk/bike through a park setting.

Nothing

I like the implementation of the seniors assistive living. Definitely needed in Regina and it will establish revenue for the the new build. We do not need new townhouses! Regina is saturated with renatals.

- in all I like the spray pad, soccer field, picnic area and rec facilities - the open area in the west part of the park to allow for unplanned uses - the seniors residence increased density and ensures park usage - no new residences next to (or across the street) from the tracks. - revenue positive. This is not an important point, green space take precedence. But this is a reasonable compromise and use of land. -

Nothing really

No more condos.

Best of both worlds. Recreation area is intact and the city actual makes some money. Seniors complex makes the area multi generational. Many older residents in area now. - this would allow them to possible stay in area. There is other housing available in immediate area, but not any dedicated to seniors.

I like that there is still a lot of green space. The corner of the lot where the seniors complex is planned wouldn’t take much away from the park (and brings in the most revenue).

The senior living complex it will create more jobs for people that need them!

Concept #2 – Seniors’ Assisted Living + Recreation Facilities
Generates revenue and provides another option for our aging population. Keeps a large portion of the green space intact.

Another artificial turf field is badly needed.

Seniors living definitely a great idea. Also like the children’s area.

Keeps some green space and doesn’t cost a lot of money. We need senior housing.

If any land has to be infilled I believe the seniors complex provides better value to the area than the town houses. There is already a lot of higher density housing to the north of this area. The seniors complex being across the water channel from the pismokamik elders complex provides some good symmetry especially if a pedestrian crossing is planned for this location over the channel. I also think having space for sports and children activities near an elders complex provides many opportunities if only for the residents to enjoy the view out the.

No cost We don’t need more townhouses eliminating 3-4

Financially sound and still maximizes green space.

We need more affordable seniors housing. The city needs to ensure this happens and it doesn’t turn into a massive for profit living facility.

The concept of more affordable senior living

Like it had space for seniors as there is a demand for this and a green space in on.

Maybe more seniors housing needed?? Not sure about this?

I like that this option provides some much needed supported living for seniors along with the much needed recreation facilities for this area. I also like that it is cost effective and should not need any tax increases.

Love this concept. Perfect balance of green space and a seniors complex is a must in Regina’s aging population!

Assisted living at affordable rates are needed

It’s cost effective and provides some needed senior housing

Still primarily maintaining the bulk of the green space but allowing for a corner to seniors, a well needed addition

Senior housing and the whole recreation complex

I like the opportunity for job creation the assisted living complex, as well as creating a community based recreation site that allows for neighbours to get together.

The more affordable rec options for local residents the better. Disc golf could be an addition that area kids could afford.

Concept #2 – Seniors’ Assisted Living + Recreation Facilities
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The senior complex is a great feature of the development! Communities need to provide spaces such as the green spaces in the

I like that it maximizes the play space, and doesn’t overcrowd with communities, I also like that way that it is lower in budget.

Please reconsider keeping the Golf course open

Love the idea of a seniors complex near the park. It would be a beautiful space along with all the other features it would be a great family spot. Like the financial numbers of this option but worry about ongoing costs of upkeep. Would prefer to contract the park upkeep to the seniors complex as it would be cheaper than if the city maintained it.

The seniors Center is the only Commercial development I can agree with

I like that it is a good amount of green space as well as helping the need for a seniors complex

Provides mix of housing, green space, brings community together and still leaves good street frontage for visibility and access to the park.

recreation components

There’s nothing I don’t like. It’s just a really nice combination of facilities. I think the area would be great for seniors. It doesn’t hurt that it can generate revenue. Concept #2 is my first choice.

There is some property tax revenue ongoing.

I like all of this plan.

None of it.

Mixed land use – it combines rec areas with a senior complex

all

The large amount of still remaining public space, the opportunity to give seniors a nice environment to be in and the total cost is great.

Regina needs more places for Senior Assisted Living.

This is the winner. Best of both worlds. Generates some revenue but still keeps the green space/park etc.

With an aging population, I am encouraged to see more assisted senior living homes. Also the multi use field sounds like a good plan.

The senior citizens in that neighbourhood would be able to retire near where they spent their lives

Concept #2 – Seniors’ Assisted Living + Recreation Facilities
This expands the seniors housing units in the City and balances that with the most recreational use of the space. Thank you for allowing the public to give their opinions on these options. Some of my grand children live in the Regent Park housing development and this re-development would be wonderful for them.

Senior living! Please No more low income in this area!

I like the rec areas and we need more seniors housing.

More assisted living units in our city for seniors.

I love the idea of a senior complex as there will be more traffic in the area closer to the creek, my concern is more rentals in the area and this is an idea that I love because as baby boomers age, there is a need for more units to support them. This is my favorite idea of all four.

Nothing. This is NOT what the majority wanted.

This seems like a great balance to plan for the future - aging baby boomers and growing families that are more likely to register for make use of recreation facilities with planned play for children.

Lots of room for recreation, less expensive than #1

This is by far the best option as the area needs more seniors homes as opposed to townhouses. Great use of space and dollars!

I like the whole concept. Don't need townhouses. There are a lot of seniors out there and the number is growing.

The green space remaining. This area is desperate to have a beautiful park where we can walk our dogs and enjoy time with our family and friends!

All

Use of green space for recreation

That is doesn't cost the City money and generates some income.

The baby boomers are coming you are going to need more seniors homes best to start now!

More housing for seniors

I like that it doesn't include town houses

Having seniors assisted living next to sports field will work well rather than having condos or town houses. Less traffic in the area, improved public transport because of seniors would help the sports field as well.

*Concept #2 – Seniors’ Assisted Living + Recreation Facilities*
The recreational area

All of it. It’s the best use of space. With the ‘rec only’ option, there’d be a lot of wasted/unused space, and with the two townhouse options, they’d be a waste. There are way too many townhouses already on the rental and sales market that are sitting. Regina does not need more townhouses.

I like that seniors will be able to sit outside and watch kids play.

Assisted seniors housing is important and in demand. There are too many townhouses and condos in the city already

Keep it to affordable seniors housing and keep some recreation

None

I find that a assisted living complex will enhance the demographic of the neighbourhood. Combining it along with a multi functional sports field and spray pad will allow a combination of outdoor options for visitors and family’s of those thAt are using the assisted living complex

I do like the amount of green space available and the living complex for the seniors. As someone who actually lives in the area, I’d like to keep as much of the green space as possible, but I do not feel more low income housing is necessary. But for me, the seniors complex would be a happy medium.

That there’s a revenue. Having a recreation area/park for public to use and also the seniors who would be living there. It’s nice for them to have some green space near to enjoy.

Green space

Checks a lot of the boxes - recreation space, seniors living and green space. Love the design sport field and keeping some of the mature trees. Also the fact that there is some revenue generation or a break even prospect is also very positive.

There is a dire lack of facilities for seniors BUT it needs to be affordable so more can have access to these facilities. Facilities don’t need to have all the bells and whistles. A clean, safe, caring facility is welcomed. I think a majority of seniors don’t want luxury, they can still be happy without these pricey amenities. Can something be built between the $3,000-$3,500/month range?

Would love a spray pad and more recreation areas for my kids, I think the seniors would benefit from having the walking paths and it generates a revenue for the city

With a large amount of baby boomers getting older I am sure an assisted living complex will help fill that need and we can still have some recreational space. It is a win win for everyone.

I think this is the way to go, you never have enough housing for seniors, plus it will not cost taxpayers more on there taxes, Regina taxes are high enough,
That it has housing/assisted living for seniors. I am a 32 year old and even I am aware of how badly we need more of this. The last thing this city needs is more townhouses and/or condominiums!

We need more housing for seniors and having a nice green space is a plus

As our population ages I'm in favor of more senior assisted living. Like the water park for kids.

Senior living complex is very much needed!

Generates revenue while having minimal impact on the land - seniors aren't very mobile and the building is compact.

While I would love to take advantage of the maximum amount of space for outdoor activities and play areas it is clear we have a need for more senior living in the city.

Having the senior assisted living complex. We don't need any more condos. The market is flooded with condos.

I like the idea of regenerating the neighbourhood with a new development. I'm concerned that few seniors would choose to live right beside a train tack.

The living space and green space work well together, without becoming 'over populated' in such a small area. There is lots of space for family gatherings, as well as visitors from other neighborhoods.

I like the mix of seniors with play areas for children. Both groups benefit. Hopefully a mixed daycare with senior assist can be included.

Affordable senior housing and outdoor recreation areas (soccer/football fields, basketball hoops etc) are both definitely needed in Regina.

It would be great to have seniors and youth getting usage from the property. Service to the whole community, not just one small sector. There's a shortage of affordable senior housing.

We need more Seniors Assisted Living. My concern is Affordable. Would this be affordable to seniors on a low income budget? I also approve the recreation facilities.

We need more seniors housing, seniors are more likely to care for public property than townhouse dwellers. This option provides cost effectiveness with public space which will be used, enjoyed and upkeep will be less costly

Combination of assisted living and providing recreation facilities for multi-age citizens.

I like the larger seniors' living complex in this plan. I feel that our city is in need of a new seniors' complex more than we need new town houses.

I like the seniors complex, do not need to add anymore houses to the city, there's already lots of places to build new townhomes. More parks is needed.
Concept #2 is easily the best option. Regina doesn’t need more townhouses.

I like the idea of senior complex and green space - which I hope includes a dog park. (seniors love dogs it would be great!)

I think the seniors complex is a good idea, we do need more of these types of homes and less of the townhouses/condo’s, of which there are many vacant at the moment

We need more good quality seniors homes

I certainly agree our city is in need of more seniors’ assisted living units. I feel these units would be a great use of this land. Incorporating them into the recreation area is great. I feel sports fields and walking paths encourage all ages to become more active. Picnic tables also provide an opportunity for citizens to enjoy family time. I am in favour of this concept as it is a great use of the land that also generates some income for the city rather than becoming a debt for myself, as a taxpayer, to shoulder.

Will get more use having seniors there to go for walks. Not enough senior housing in the city. Park alone won’t be used.

I like it all

The proximity of having green space and a seniors complex. Helps encourage more activity with the residents as they would enjoy people watching and even when family visits it gives the children. Something to look forward to.

Senior housing and no cost to the taxpayers.

Senior assisted living, AFFORDABLE hopefully.

Balance of recreational space while generating revenue to cover the cost. I’m assuming our taxes will not go up again with this choice.

Although it’s clear we need more seniors living space we also need health care workers to take care of them at the General hospital. Maybe we should look at building them a safe place to park with an attached Parkade?

I think we need more senior housing so definitely feel this is excellent option

Let me guess; city partners with private. City pays most of the costs then practically gives it to private(friend of city council). Private now owns but then will rent it back to city!? Sounds familiar!

The area NEEDS recreation spaces. The ones that are available are constantly being used and it would be great for all of the kids in the area to have more safe things to do. The seniors complex is good because it will be a source of revenue and will not cause an influx of traffic in the area like townhouses would as is what happened when the old regent school field and rec center were turned into town houses.

Seniors facility-- it’s much needed in this area and city
Added senior housing which is needed while still keeping some green space and recreation area

Love the idea that the senior residence only takes up one corner and the rest remains open space, pool, splash pad, soccer field and more. It is a beautiful area and it would keep a good portion of the trees. Plus this area really doesn't have a place for kids to go and take part in activities

We need more assisted living for seniors.

Seniors living is a growing industry, additional access to green spaces for seniors is valuable for the community.

I'm in favour of this idea or concept #4. Like the idea of senior living.

That the park I shared.

Senior housing - greatly needed.

More housing for aging baby boomer generation and in an affordable part of town

I like the idea of the splash pad and playground. It seems like this would give kids who are local to the area a safe place to play. I like the idea of some recreation being maintained in the area, but disc golf seems like a much easier fit.

I like that it's a mix between recreation and seniors assisted living.

Seniors housing is a great need...a nice environment for senior housing

If Concept #4 is not the chosen one, then I would hope that Concept #2 would be chosen. Having a Senior's Assisted Living Complex in North West Regina is by far a more superior route to take. There are a great many senior's who reside in the North West & who would love to remain in this part of the city rather than downtown or out in South East Regina.

2 - What elements of Concept #2 would you change?

Nothing.

Concept #2 – Seniors’ Assisted Living + Recreation Facilities
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Add a fully fenced dog park and it’s perfect

I would make sure there was a fully fenced off leash dog park with access to fresh water for drinking and also maybe like splash pad type area for them to cool off in the hot summer days.

The city needs another off leash dog park with a clean water source/splash pad for the animals. This is an excellent location to do so with lots of space and centrally located. There is plenty of space to have both dog & human recreation facilities here.

Man made lake instead of soccer field available for swimming

None

The city needs to ensure the senior facility will be affordable housing.

Hockey rink: soccer pitch

I feel like the soccer field is just another field in this city would be nice to Replace it with something else. Everywhere you go there’s soccer fields. Maybe a nice picnic area for families/groups/ bbq.

I am a little concerned about who would develop and manage the seniors' complex. How much control would the city/health region have over the building and the services? How would it be assured that this would not become a seniors' slum complex? Also, I think there should be some onsite security to keep the users safe at all hours. I'm sure you are cognizant of the gang activity so near to this project.

None.

Nothing.

There is no need for housing developments in this area.

Do not like the Townhouses ... they tend to attract landlord / rental residents who don’t maintain property .... unless there are not to rent which is difficult to regulate

How many seniors are in the area? Will it be affordable for them? If not don’t bother. All green space then

If possible to add more rec options in the space, horse shoe pits for the seniors possibly? Lawn bowling?

Spend the surplus improving another area.

Nothing I can think of.

Remove all commercial developments.

Nothing, this is great.

Concept #2 – Seniors' Assisted Living + Recreation Facilities
I like it the way it is.

Make sure there’s lots of lighting for the pathways. It’s a little close to a rough area.

I don’t think the senior’s area should be sold to private investors but should be a publicly funded and ran complex.

None

Only thing that would not appeal to me would be the fact that the boundary to the west borders on a back alley. Not sure if trash cans and back yard messes would be an appealing view for the residents or people using the park.

Not a safe area for seniors I would not agree with this as a good plan. Keep the openness of the park.

None

Although I agree Regina needs more seniors’ assisted living units I question if seniors would feel safe in this area due to the adjacent high crime areas (Elphinstone St to Albert St south of the tracks & Elphinstone St to west of McIntosh St north of the tracks). I feel spray pads have no place in our city! We should actively encourage and teach children to think of wiser uses of water than allowing it to evaporate in the hot sun. Shame on the city for even considering spray pads! Sports fields offer less opportunity for vandalism than playground equipment/basketball courts etc. Picnic tables can also become targets for vandalism. Perhaps the tables and playground could be in close proximity to higher usage areas to encourage some casual ‘observation’ of the area’s activities. Benches along the walking paths would be a welcome rest area. An off-leash dog park should be a ‘definite’ inclusion in the usage plan. It could be located in a lesser used area of the park and partially fenced, using the storm channel as a natural ‘backstop’. This may encourage the seniors’ complex management to allow occupants to keep pets. A better option for the spray pad area would be to develop a community garden. This would provide a relaxing hobby, encourage youth to take pride in gardening and see it as purposeful, and provide home-grown produce. I support this plan with these noted changes as it encourages community and youth involvement and provides the city with some income.

Need parking. Underground would be nice. No window scraping in the winter.

nothing

Have this as an initial or complete replacement for pioneer village because the current one is terrible!

Ensuring this approach provides a better balance of revenue and expenses.

City council and mayor

Gaining revenue is likely the reason for developing this area. If it could be turned in to a recreation space without having to add more traffic to the area that would be idealistic.
More units

Perhaps require some commercial space in any senior complex.

Can’t think of anything right now. Townhouses might be a tough sell considering your facing the tracks. Possible to move to the north side of development?

I don’t understand why there isn’t a dog park included in this, or any other plan, when the residents of the city have clearly indicated the need for many more such facilities.

The multi sport field to a disc golf course. Regina already has a number of open use fields. Regina only has one disc golf course in the other end of the city. Providing another place to play would be ideal. We don’t need another empty field. It’s already an empty field.

add fully accessible housing. Not everyone with a disability wants to live in low income housing.

Make sure there is adequate parking.

It seems ridiculous that 3 of your 4 design proposals involve the development of housing and buildings on the site, even though 76% of respondents to your own survey did not want any housing built in the area. Disc golf would be massively cheaper than the multi use field. It would enable the preservation of the natural treed area that also exists and be more inclusive for the neighbourhood kids than a field that will be booked up by expensive sports programs.

None- in my opinion we dont need more townhouses in this city.

Nothing

Add gardening boxes for the seniors to plant and look after at waist height for them. For recreation, a walking track around the grounds, picnic area with playground equipment. Seniors love to watch children at play. Also add balconies to their apts.

Affordable senior living, turf field

The seniors’ housing should be enlarged to the east where the picnic area is, the picnic area could be moved to the area to the west of the multi-purpose athletic field.

Keep it as golf not a tennis court. Or make it a soccer/foot ball field for local teams to utilize.

Needs to expand roadways to account for additional traffic. In addition, the streets in the surrounding neighbourhoods need to be fixed as they are in terrible shape after all the construction of late.

I wouldn’t change much, maybe a bit more parking as I can see family’s coming to enjoy the splash pad / park area and they will likely drive to get there. I just love the concept of integrating the aging population with the younger generations, great idea and cant wait to see what the city decides to go with!

Concept #2 – Seniors’ Assisted Living + Recreation Facilities
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I think it would be nice if it was senior housing not necessarily assisted living.

None

For 'recreation facilities' include an 'events' area, maybe even a swimming pool, where things like dog shows etc can be held and seniors are close and can watch or participate - a huge increase in their quality of life. It also mixes all age groups and doesn't 'getto' the seniors.

It's hard to tell from the drawing, but ensure there is adequate parking for both the seniors facility and the rec space.

Public washrooms if you want families to actually use the area.

Nothing

None

This is a great place for the new YWCA, too bad there was no consultation done like this for the Lucy Eley Park and old Victoria School parcel.

Nothing

It would be nice to have spots for other sports, like cricket or Frisbee golf. I would add some covered picnic areas.

Not sure about the accessibility in and out. 1st ave and an alley are the only way?

Try to get the senior complex to be provincially funded?

Increase and change the pedestrian paths to multipath walkways

SAVE THE PAR 3

No changes

A multi use path along the drainage ditch.

Reduce green space

Nothing

I'm not sure if it's on the rest of the plans or not, but if the splash pad area could be partially or fully fenced for safety of children that would be great! There isn't much space between the splash pad and the road. Families would be more likely to use it (and enjoy it!) if it was a bit more safe.

Not a fan of tennis courts so I would take that out and replace it with another park or create one giant play park for kids. Or even add a skate park as well.
Nothing- but make sure we keep as much park space as possible for various activities and uses.

I don’t mind the idea of seniors’ housing, but would prefer a fully recreational area.

Make the multi purpose field more like Douglas Park where the north End schools can go to use.

None however build seniors housing away from tracks and traffic

The seniors complex location very much reduced easy access to the picnic area, but at the end of the day people can still walk in so probably not a huge deal. Also, the arrangement shown seems to leave an odd strip of green space in the southwest corner. I understand this is allow access off 1st ave n to the seniors complex in the back, but I wonder why the seniors complex might not be moved to the southwest corner and have direct street access while placing the multi-purpose athletic field towards the back. Athletic field users will be willing to walk to it from the street and the seniors complex gets direct street access.

Add senior activities to the green space, golf, lawn bowl etc.

Nothing other than perhaps a nice water feature of some sort to provide variety to those strolling the park. Perhaps there could be some sort of second hand usage for the water feature between the spray pad and a water feature before the water goes into a filtering cleaning system - such as sand, rushes etc.

Please consider the exercise and recreation needs of the many dog owners of the area of the city served by this space. With more than 8 acres of recreational space available, a 2 acre off-leash dog park, fully fenced and available year round is not difficult to incorporate. This is not a zero-sum -there is enough space for all activities AND an off-leash area. Locating it in the north east corner will have no impact on residences in the area or on the proposed senior’s building, an L-shaped space of 2 acres, with trees and paths, in the north-east corner seems possible with only minor changes to the current plan. Also, with a large # of senior assisted residences already existing, is it realistic that a developer will want to put a private senior’s residence in this location, with train tracks so close by? Since the amount of dollars that can be leveraged from the space is very dependent on the willingness of a developer to pay for that land, I think this is a reasonable concern. Additionally, there is very limited parking for a recreational area likely to attract hundreds of parents and grandparents when the athletic field is in use for tournaments etc. Congested street-only parking is a safety and access concern to the residents in the area as well as to users of the recreational space. Check Kings Road during weekend use of the park there, or the challenges for residents in the stadium area for examples of these concerns when parking is not well planned.

Add a secure off-leash dog park.

can’t think of any

Nothing

None
I would hope that the cost of living at the Seniors' Assisted Living Complex would reflect the average income of the population living in the neighbourhood, so that seniors who do need such facilities can both afford it and stay within a close distance to family or friend supports as many seniors who find themselves in such situations end up sacrificing either financially or support-wise in order to find a facility that meets their needs.

We do not need any new sports fields. There are PLENTY in Regina. WE NEED A DOG PARK!

NO BUILDINGS IN THE PARK. DO NOT WRECK THE CURRENT GREEN SPACE. OT WOULD BE A SHAME TO MOW DOWN THE NICE TREED AREA FOR A SENIORS CENTER, PARKING, AND A SOCCER FIELD.

I would love to see a better splash of water park in this area, as I live nearby and we do not have like a super family centre to go to, to take the kids, and bbq and such, that would be nice.

Please keep the Golf course open. Golf is a growing sport and everyone, young and older can enjoy recreation from Golf. There is no demand for new housing in Regina and a lot of seniors would not enjoy living in the suggested environment.

I would add a fenced dog park. Seniors love dogs and there aren’t enough options for owners to take their dogs.

I can’t imagine elderly people would want be near the park with children. I don’t think they would enjoy children screaming if they are trying to relax on their balcony.

Find ways to integrate the seniors with the community in the park space.

NO HOUSING less resident parking and traffic in the park add a washroom more pathways add disk golf

nothing.

Not enough taxable properties in this option. I suspect that the land sale numbers are inflated a bit to make it look like there’s some revenue to be gained - I am skeptical.

I would change nothing. This is my preferred plan for the area

No buildings keep all of the green space as it is.

If you can, please make part of the park a fenced, off-leash dog area. We desperately need more of these in Regina, and off-leash walking in non-designated areas is nearly an epidemic

Nothing really, as this is the best of the 4 concepts in my opinion.

Looks good.

As a resident of King Street I would propose to widen the back alley to accommodate the traffic this will create going down that road/alley way.

Concept #2 - Seniors' Assisted Living + Recreation Facilities
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More walking paths to connect to the paths nearby at the ball diamonds

Add another pedestrian access across water further east to facilitate more access from all the families that live North of the water but further away from Elphinstone Street.

Add community garden!

I would make sure it is low income senior care home! We have enough for people that can afford the beautiful private care homes. The subsidized care homes are ancient sad places to live or even visit!

Make the recreation facilities around the complex geared more toward the seniors to entice them to stay active and social, and therefore healthier. Things like lawn bowling, pickle ball, etc.

My only concern with this is lighting on the walkways and green space. There is an abundance of drug use and prostitutes using the back alley in inappropriate ways.

The sale of increasingly rare park space is worrying to me. Make your city proud... This is not how you do that.

Make the assisted living complex going to be run by the SK Health and not another high priced 'seniors retirement' complex.

Add an off-leash dog park. There is plenty of room to add a dog park and still have space for all the other recreation opportunities.

Not a thing... unless there is room for disc golf :)

Maybe some of the recreation space could be geared to encourage seniors recreation, i.e. low level physical activities.

Selling off part of the land to the highest bidder who would then be in control of running the privatized senior’s complex for up to $5000/month, taking advantage of the seniors who can even afford to live there. Losing that green-space and beautiful mature trees forever.

Bigger playground

Assisted seniors care only if it is necessary

Remove the multipurpose athletic field and replace it with a fully fenced off-leash dog park. Yes. It would cost far less than maintaining an athletic field. The vehicle traffic to the residential area would be less of a strain. The off-leash area would be a crime deterrent for the area (dog owners would be there using the park and this would deter crime when the athletic field was not being used). I’m not making this up. Other cities have done this very thing to reduce crime in areas with a chronic problem. Crime is a problem in this area and an off-leash area would be part of the solution to this. And, the City would be fulfilling a promise made many years ago: to provide more off-leash areas. The City has deflected this onto the developers but this has not worked. Now is the time.
Please, if removing the athletic field is not an option, then turn the park/picnic area into an off-leash area: with one area for small dogs.

I would gear the recreational side more towards seniors. I realize as a city, more spray pads seems like a good idea, but lets make this space more senior friendly. Bowling lawn? Walking paths, etc.

I think it's perfect with city generating revenue along with our seniors.

add a mini golf course. Regina doesn't even have one right now

Nothing.

This is not a change as so much the reason I don't want townhouses. I realize the city would benefit from the property tax income but townhouses tend to get run down and that would ruin the area where senior complex's are well taken care of and I feel it would be good for the overall well being of the seniors.

Nothing

Move the Aquatic Destination Facility Concept from Wascana Park to Regent Park.

I would ensure that the recreation field and space can be used in a dynamic fashion. Simply having it used of one or 2 purposes will not serve the area in the best way possible

As long as there is plenty of lighting to keep the druggies and the prostitution away, I'm fine with the design

No suggestion.

The senior assisted living facility needs to be publicly funded. Selling the land to the highest bidder for another overpriced retirement home with unknown care standards is not beneficial to this city.

Put the parking on the north side of the senior's complex and move the building a little south, so when they are looking out or have a deck area where they can sit out and enjoy the green space.

Nothing.

Eliminate the golf course all together and make it all affordable assisted living facilities for seniors! I'm an avid golfer and for a city the size of Regina, having Tor Hill, The Goulet and The Murray to choose from is more than enough.

Intoning I like the plans

Instead of soccer field. I would like to see perhaps a managed swimming pool for both public and the ability to work with the Seniors. Or perhaps have a conservatory for the seniors and the public.
None. it's perfect

I would make full use of the outdoor space for outdoor athletic activities. A tennis court with lighting could be added as options in the city are minimal. I would love to see the addition of some type of mini golf (outdoor/indoor) as our city is severely lacking on things do with friends and family compared to other municipalities.

Having pickleball courts in the recreation facility.

The sporting area should be a common green space. Our neighbourhood doesn't need an organized sports area. A place to hang out and play in a more casual manner would be preferable.

If there was a small parking lot, perhaps schools and other organizations could use the athletic field for sporting events or practices. **Hopefully there would be lots of lighting throughout all the green space.

Would prefer the golf course for the seniors to use, they would not use the sport field add in a community garden plot

Keep short golf course or an activity that multigenerational families can enjoy. Eg, seniors have children and grandchildren visiting and could enjoy doing outdoor activities together.
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1 - What elements of Concept #3 do you like?

Not much. I guess the fact that there is some green space.

Rec space

Pretty good. Nice mix. Attractive price.

Recreational facilities for the neighbourhood.

Provides some low cost housing in the area. Splash pad.

This is a great place for the new YWCA, too bad there was no consultation done like this for the Lucy Eley Park and old Victoria School parcel.

none of it

I work in assisted living and currently occupancy is 70%. Seniors housing is not a good investment.

Nothing

Revenue generation. Maintains adequate green space.

Ok, but senior option is much better

Another artificial turf field

How about more schools?

The road being pushed through for the townhouses would make for convenient vehicle access to the picnic area in the back.

The recreation area

Green space.

Please DO NOT implement option 3 or 4. We do not need more townhouses that eventually turn into slum areas. Also, both option 3 and 4 diminish the greenspace and
recreation areas significantly. This is a once in a lifetime opportunity for the city to make a good decision that affects this area of Regina in a positive way. Please implement option 1. However, option 2 is acceptable if option 1 proves too costly.

That there’s affordable housing mixed with an area for young families promoting physical activity.

The green space.

Like green space / recreation portion

Nil

The recreation part.

The recreation and green spaces.

No more town houses in this area PLEASE.

None

I do not like the total number of Townhouses proposed for the development of Concept #3.

Really like the multi-use park aspect of all four designs.

Keeping more than 50% green space is a positive.

recreation facility

Playground seems nice. Splash pad would be fun, but it might as well go next to the pool... or is there already water at the existing gazebo?

None

Please keep the Golf course open

I don’t like this option.

nothing. I think it’s a horrible idea to put up a townhouse development there. Concept #3 is my last choice, followed closely by Concept #4

Has a nice mix of recreation and housing.

I like the greenspace

None of it.

The cost Third best option

Concept #3 – Townhouse Development + Recreation Facilities
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Do not like the idea of low income housing

This area doesn't need more townhomes, there's already an enormous rate of vacancy, especially in this neighbourhood, and no demand for more entry-level housing in the area.

I personally think this is my least favorite, I don't want any more rentals in this area. I do love the revitalization of the green space.

Nothing. This is not what the people wanted and that is evident in the survey responses.

Please no! Regina has more than enough condos -- no more!

Lots of room for recreation. Adding dense and affordable housing

Nothing! Beautiful and mature trees and open green space will be gone forever! Green-space does not require taking out trees for a soccer field! There are many other much cheaper options for green space. The housing market is saturated. I haven't spoken to anyone who wants more housing! We need this park!

Using the field area as green space for recreation

That is doesn't cost the City money and can generate some income.

None!!

There is revenue with this option which is good but there still is a net cost. Plus we already have tons of vacant condos in the city. At least the senior complex is revenue positive.

We have far too many townhomes and condominiums. There are several sitting empty. Those who own condos are not able to sell them. The market is completely saturated. Stop approving the building of condos and townhomes while there are so many vacant.

None

Green space

Ugh, more townhouses. Ugly.

I like the idea of inviting new residents into the area with updated housing.

Only thing good about this concept is the recreation portion. Please no more condos or townhouses, Regina is flooded with them.

some public space

NO MORE TOWNHOUSES!!! The recreation facility idea is ok with several modifications below.
Green space no housing. Let me guess; city partners with private. City pays most of the costs then practically gives it to private(friend of city council). Private now owns but then will rent it back to city!? Sounds familiar!

Townhouses - who would build them? And would they be rentals or for sale?

Additional housing with some recreation areas in addition to some revenue generated

The recreation part area.

A splash pad is a waste of water and money

This idea addresses all the needs of this local. It keeps green space as a priority and includes facilities for both casual and organised recreation. Most importantly it keeps the elders connected with comunity rather than warehousing them off in a corner.

Mixed use space.

If you only want residential then this plan is not too bad.

2 - What elements of Concept #3 would you change?

Almost all of it. No town houses, more green space.

God God! The last thing we need is more townhouses! Especially in one of the only undeveloped big patches of City owned land in Regina. Throw Concept # 3 in the garbage. Please!

This neighbourhood cannot afford to add any more low-income/rental style housing units. There are already several locations and the rest of the owned properties are starting to turn into rentals as a result.

Public washrooms if you want families to actually use the area.

No townhouses. There are PLENTY of townhouses and condos throughout the city. Why take away green space to fill up with more row housing and paved parking lots?
With out good lighting the picnic area might not be that safe at in the evening/night. It just seems to be missing something. Given the layout I do not believe the picnic area will get well used.

This is a great place for the new YWCA, too bad there was no consultation done like this for the Lucy Eley Park and old Victoria School parcel.

too congested. You will have a large amounts of cars, limited in and out. And people trying to use the park. There is just too much going on.

Consider micro houses as an affordable option for the homeless.

SAVE THE PAR 3

Nothing

I don't like the residential housing right by the turf field.

Or a new hospital?

There is already so much high density housing between 3rd Ave N and the water channel that I do not see it as a good use of the land to add more higher density housing. If any land is appropriated for other uses I think the seniors complex gives more value to the area.

No more housing! There are too many condos and townhouses already! The new developments are driving housing prices down!

We don't need more townhouses!!! They will get wrecked in this area.

Look into housing options for cheaper prices for families.

There is no need for housing developments in this area.

Do not agree with townhouse development .... maybe condo or senior condo / assisted living in combination with Seniors Care Home

PLEASE NO TOWN HOUSES

Removal of the townhouses. There is no need for more townhouses in Regina. This aspect of housing has been overdeveloped.

I don't see a need for more townhouses in that area.

Remove all commercial developments.

We do not need more townhouses. The supply of new builds already exceeds the demand! Use the space for recreation only or for seniors housing as that is a growing demographic.
No private ownership of a public space should be allowed in this area.

No more high density housing.

Please consider the exercise and recreation needs of the many dog owners of the area of the city served by this space. With over 7.5 acres of recreational space available, a 1.5 - 2 acre off-leash dog park, fully fenced and available year round is not difficult to incorporate. This is not a zero-sum - there is enough space for all activities AND an off-leash area. Locating it in the north east corner will have no impact on residences in the area or on the proposed townhomes; an L-shaped space with trees and paths, in the north-east corner, seems possible with only minor changes to the current plan. Also, with a large # of townhome developments in progress or existing, is it realistic that a developer will want to build here or are more such buildings even needed? Since the amount of dollars that can be leveraged from the space is very dependent on the willingness of a developer to pay for that land, I think this is a reasonable concern. Additionally, there is very limited parking for a recreational area likely to attract hundreds of parents and grandparents when the athletic field is in use for tournaments etc. Congested street-only parking is a safety and access concern to the residents in the area as well as to users of the recreational space. Check Kings Road during weekend use of the park there, or the challenges for residents in the stadium area for examples of these concerns when parking is not well planned.

Revise to include a secure off-leash dog park.

Vacancy rates are really high in this city right now - so I would not put more townhouses in this space.

Not in favour of residential development. The city is over built and prices are tanking as it is. Nobody is asking for more condos. I would love to see disc golf included with the rec area. I do play, and it is a fun, cheap, and easy to catch onto option. The cost to install and maintain would be massively less than the cost of the field and would likely get more use from locals.

Regina is over run with townhouses that are not selling. We do not need any more!

Please keep the Golf course open. There is no need for new housing in Regina right now. We need recreation for all ages and Golf is a growing sport for all ages.

If you do this option add a dog park. There are way too many town houses. Why add more.

NO HOUSING add a washroom take out the parking spaces and driving roads add disk golf more and better path system

I'd get rid of the townhouse development. Either that, or the mayor and all the city councillors should have to move into some of these 'intensification' areas.

I don't think a spray pad is needed especially given this neighborhood has a free outdoor pool. If history repeats itself, the pool will be rebuilt.

I don't like the townhouse concept. We don't need more townhouses
No buildings keep all of the green space as it is.

I don't think this public space should be used for private living space.

The city doesn't need more empty condos sitting around......

Remove the townhomes.

My concern with this is lighting, there is an abundance of drug use and prostitutes using the back alley in inappropriate ways and bringing more rental properties into the area. This area does not need more rentals, we need spaces for the children of this neighbourhood to safely play and be kids.

Please stop removing green space from our city. Regina, and it's parks, were all built from the ground up. We should be proud of that. Not ripping it up to cram in houses. We already have concrete suburbs all over. Please stop!

Please no! Regina has more than enough condos -- no more!

Add an off-leash dog park. There is plenty of room to add a dog park and still have space for all the other recreation opportunities.

No housing! The market is saturated! This could be a beautiful city attraction. Taking away services from the community will just hinder our growth and positive change. There is no need to cut down trees to make a green-space. This area is large and beautiful and useful as it is! Our city loves their dogs and people love dog parks. One in the city is not enough. Or, take away the fences, add some paths, benches, and lights and this community could actually go for enjoyable walks or throw or kick a ball around with family and friends. Or, leave the space as is and have disc golf played there all year round! Our city does not need any more of its services taken away!

No need for more town houses

Remove the multipurpose athletic field and replace it with a fully fenced off-leash dog park. Yes. It would cost far less than maintaining an athletic field. The vehicle traffic to the residential area would be less of a strain. The off-leash area would be a crime deterrent for the area (dog owners would be there using the park and this would deter crime when the athletic field was not being used). I'm not making this up. Other cities have done this very thing to reduce crime in areas with a chronic problem. Crime is a problem in this area and an off-leash area would be part of the solution to this. And, the City would be fulfilling a promise made many years ago: to provide more off-leash areas. The City has deferred this onto the developers but this has not worked. Now is the time. Please. If removing the athletic field is not an option, then turn the park/picnic area into an off-leash area: with one area for small dogs.

NO TOWN HOUSES!!!!!

We do NOT need more townhouses built!!

I would build an entire community of tiny homes for low income people, including some larger ones for families, and a communal facility for cooking or gathering. These homes
should not cost more than $80,000 to purchase and should keep people warm, dry and safe.

Move the Aquatic Destination Facility Concept planned for Wascana Park to Regent Park.

Get rid of the townhomes. This city does not need more residential expansion, especially not at the cost of our limited greenspace

Change townhouses to senior living complex

I miss the large park. The soccer field seems unwarranted. First, it is the wrong orientation: our kids will lose the very few balls they have across the tracks. Second, with so many new residents, we'd be better off with more park for daily play.

No more condos or townhouses Regina has too many already, what we lack are recreation spaces and opportunities.

regina already has too many townhouse developments. Often I see lots of litter around this type of high density housing - I would worry that the public areas in this plan would be messy and upkeep costs high.

NO MORE TOWNHOUSES!!! The City of Regina has allowed greedy developers and builders to erect cheap, low-quality, esthetically unappealing housing that only serves to devalue the existing housing resale market. By allowing this type of development the city has encouraged greedy builders to cut costs (reads 'quality') wherever possible to pursue profits that are subsidized by the city through property tax relief and other measures. If this continues existing landlords may leave rental properties vacant and not pay property taxes. STOP THE UNENDING LOW QUALITY DEVELOPMENT NOW!!!
The spray pad is a total waste of money and water! We should teach - and involve our youth - in the many opportunities to conserve this valuable resource rather than wasting it on play that only sees the water evaporate in the hot sun. Replace the spray pads with community gardens that allow citizens to pursue this pastime and enjoy fresh, wholesome produce. An off-leash dog park should be incorporated into the recreation area - perhaps close to the storm channel. NO CONCEPT OF ANY KIND THAT INCREASES THE COST TO THE CITY! THIS PROJECT NEEDS TO EITHER BE ZERO COST TO THE CITY, OR ACTUALLY GENERATE FUNDS/INCOME FOR THE CITY. I, AS A TAXPAYER, DO NOT AUTHORIZE THE CITY TO INCUR NET DEBT IN RELATION TO THIS PROJECT.

City council and mayor

Regina has an over supply of multi unit dwellings. This wouldnt address the needs of the community.

None

Please include a dog park in this or any other plan for this area.

Townhouses. Cheap housing that deprecilates quickly. Will slowly ruin the recreation with it.

Concept #3 - Townhouse Development + Recreation Facilities
Powered by CheckMarket
I would incorporate other sports like disc golf that can be played in a shared park. Regina only has one place to play disc golf and providing another place would bring Regina up to present time. Saskatoon already has three well-used courses.

The city has already overdeveloped condos/townhouses. The number of units on the market for sale/rent has been at historical highs for a few years. Adding more will make an already difficult situation worse.

The area north of the multi-purpose athletic field could have more townhouses, or you could put a smaller version of the seniors' complex there to make more efficient use of the land.
Concept #4 Seniors' Living + Townhouse Development + Recreation
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1 - What elements of Concept #4 do you like?

Estimated revenue

More housing and revenue

The fact that it includes housing, senior housing and open recreational/park spaces. The townhouse development should also be for adult/seniors rather than families and/or low income housing. This would allow for movement between the units...independent living to assisted living. Open spaces should include outdoor exercise equipment for seniors and surrounding park users.

Sports field

We need more senior assisted living places. As the area is already heavy with townhouses and apartments more of that sort of development would maybe be over populating the area.

I really like the revenue stream ... no net tax dollars required. Would prefer townhouse be replaced a seniors assisted living condo project .... between senior independent and full service home care... like a transitional Neighbourhood that s senior could enter as semi assisted then move to higher level care home as they age.

The green space.

It's good mixed used and it's adds to the needs of more senior living areas

The mix of seniors and youth in townhouses can be very positive for both parties.

assisted living aspect but who will own/operate this facility? city? private. How about low income housing?

Only Townhouses for Seniors.

The seniors complex as more capacity of this nature is needed.
I like the mix of town house and the seniors complex. We definitely need more senior home facilities in our city and having town houses close would allow families to be closer to their loved ones if they wanted.

Revenue and seniors complexes

Revenue and seniors complexes

It has it all looks complex and for seniors. We need to have our city to be like other cities. This is the best option.

The recreation and green spaces.

It services the elderly and young families and provides recreation.

Multiuser for wider resident use.

I appreciate that it makes good use of the land for seniors, families, and children. Adjacent neighbourhoods have been experiencing an uptake in crime, and having positive recreational outlets can be preventative in that trend expanding.

Like the inclusion of housing.

All

Nothing

Inclusive and will bring life to a space that is often empty that feels slightly dangerous

Potential revenue is promising.

Everything, an 'All-In-One' perfect plan. Great job!

I like the seniors complex.

We need more seniors complexes. Revenue generated.

Green space no housing. Let me guess; city partners with private. City pays most of the costs then practically gives it to private (friend of city council). Private now owns but then will rent it back to city!? Sounds familiar!

It serves the whole population better than the rest. Plus it will bring in revenue in the future and not spend taxpayers dollars endlessly.

It’s a mixture of uses. Assisted senior housing is needed and it’s in a nice area.

Anything that generates more income for the city is well worth considering. I like the sports field, playground, picnic area ad pathways. These provide opportunities for local residents to enjoy the outdoors & each other.
All of them

There’s revenue! It promotes keeping citizens of all ages together in the community.

Recreation facility looks nice.

I like mixed housing options integrating seniors.

I don’t like the open field. We have a lot of open field multi-use spaces already. This space is supposed to be useful. It’s already an empty field.

ALMOST NOTHING. SPLASHPAD AND A PARK SEEM NICE, BUT CLEARCUTTING THE TREES FOR A SPORTS FIELD SEEMS GOOFY. THERE ARE IS ALREADY A HUGE AMOUNT OF FLAT TREELESS LAND AROUND REGINA. WHY WRECK A NICE NATURAL TREED AREA? PROMOTING RECREATION WITHIN THAT NATURAL AREA WOULD SEEM TO MAKE A LOT MORE SENSE.

All elements!

Play area for kids

The inclusion of a retirement community AND housing along with recreational facilities.

Seniors - Assisted Living Concept

Seniors housing!

I like that there are the three options being developed. Creating a community while keeping a green space is nice to see.

It generates revenue. It gives more space for recreation. Something we need.

Multiple elements to suit a wider range of the community.

The city makes money that will pay for the renovations of the facilities.

Everything. Seniors complex, townhouses and all the green space.

Looks pretty good and uses most of the land effectively. This is the best of the 4 choices.

Nothing.

All of them! It makes room for seniors, families and all Regina citizens.

Revenue to the city

Rec space

Multi use facilities. Senior citizen facilities. There are not enough of these in the city for an aging population.

Concept #4 Seniors’ Living + Townhouse Development + Recreation
Recreational areas for the community

Great plan. Could be very cost effective. Provide senior living and new homes. The price is great!

I like that there is more than the seniors living complex. I think this plan offers more value to people of all ages than just 1 group.

This one is a nice mix. I like the it all. I like the senior's housing, the town houses, the splash pad, the sports field, the picnic area. What about wash rooms - just add a public wash room between the athletic field and picnic area and play ground. That would make this one the perfect one! I really like the fact that the estimated revenue is to the good - maybe spend some of that on a public washroom.

This is a great place for the new YWCA, too bad there was no consultation done like this for the Lucy Eley Park and old Victoria School parcel.

Regina requires more seniors housing and I love the idea of mixing seniors in with the community as well. However, if you move people into this area you must!! and I stress must!! clean up the area. We used to use the ACT ball diamonds for little league, however, every morning we would have to clean up needles and broken beer bottles etc. If you plan to move seniors and families into this area with a community park center you will need to spend money on clean up daily!! otherwise no one will use the space because it will be unsafe for seniors and kids alike. That would be a terribly waste of money.

I like the fact that it is a good valance between nature, housing and a community meeting place. I feel this is the best option. It fulfills all that is needed in the area and will generate revenue.

Increasing the population density of all areas of the city is important in ensuring safe, vibrant communities in the long term. The mix of senior centre and townhomes ensures a variety of residents and also offers a new workplace in the neighbourhood. There are fewer senior home options in the area than other neighbourhoods and the proposed townhome location is close enough to a supermarket and high schools to be attractive for households who walk.

All of them. Senior living, low cost living and something for the kids in the area. Little or no cost to the city is also positive.

Ok but with the extra housing, green space is smaller. If there was not regular house in area already, including townhouses, may have been good, but don't feel the need for more housing other than the seniors complex.

Nothing

I like that it offers value to many not few. The real question is whether or not being next to Washington Park will bring residents to the area.

Mixture of residential and park, also supports various ages from young to senior.
Revenue generated

I like how it has it all. Make the park area super walkable for seniors though, so they can get their exercise

Another artificial turf field

All of them. It adds amenities to an older neighborhood as well as filling a need for seniors housing. Sale and Development of the townhouse lands helps offset the cost of putting in the amenities.

It doesn't overdo the park area, considering Pony Park is immediately adjacent across Elphinstone. Also, the more infill the better.

How about lower taxes?

Everything

Good revenue for the city IF you can fill all the town houses and seniors rooms!! Isn't there enough housing in the city right now??

I like the entire elements of Concept #4. It incorporates living facilities for seniors, housing for families, and still has a fairly large area for recreational use by seniors and families, and still meets the needs of the community at large as far as green space goes. An excellent design concept & I hope this is the design chosen.

I like the plan for an affordable seniors Living Area. The plan looks great.

It is all encompassing. Baby boomers getting older need homes.

I really like the multi-use aspects of the park. I can live with the Seniors living as long as it can be accessed by financially challenged seniors as there is a need for more senior care facilities both assisted and high-level particularly in the north end.

They need more low income housing and they need to get the seniors low income housing away from downtown. They need to branch them out more. I have talked with numerous seniors and it’s a pita for them downtown. As it sucks for where the one low income seniors complex has now more than just seniors since it has become social housing instead of inclusively seniors.

Keeping more than 50% green space is good

It includes a wide range of services plus it has the potential to fund much of the initial purchase.

All of them as long as it is a quality seniors assisted living that is also affordable.

I like the multi-use, multi-generational aspect of this all-in-one plan.

BBq area
It generates income for the city and would hopefully lower taxes.

Housing

I like the seniors complex as our population ages, I like the townhouses for younger or low income families. I like the recreational elements.

Ability for interaction between seniors and others using the facilities. A healthy atmosphere for all.

Please keep the Golf Open

Don’t like it

It covers recreation, seniors, and general residential. Would be a bit better if it could be a multi-generational housing centre.

This concept provides a variety of new housing to the neighbourhood and still leaves lots of green space to be shared by all.

I don’t like Concept #4. Solely because of the Townhouse Development

Cost efficient & provides multiple uses for the space.

I like that it generates revenue and provides additional new housing options closer to the core areas to limit the continual expansion outside of the core areas. I also like that it includes senior's housing as this will continue to be a greater need for the city as time goes on.

The pathways and the amount of development that is taxable and will provide ongoing revenue stream. I also like the senior’s complex.

I like the greenspace and seniors complex

I like the mix of Assisted Living and Condo units and the added revenue to the City.

It has good alignment with the City of Regina's Official Community Plan.

None of it.

The cost

n/a

The more new and affordable housing in Regina the better. Plus it’s revenue positive instead of revenue negative for just the park and pathways.

No thanks! Do not want low income housing in this area. Will create too much of a hangout area.
it would make the area look a lot better. senior and town houses are needed

Provides the most revenue generated and meets multiple needs of the community.

The fact that the seniors complex is close to the course and recreational areas. It's somewhere I know my grandparents would be interested in moving to one day.

Options for everybody

The seniors' center and the recreational facilities are what the neighbourhood actually needs.

This is my second least favorite of the 4. I forgot to mention in my other feedback that I really LOVE the pedestrian connection, I am assuming that goes over the creek. That would be wonderful for foot traffic for the children that live behind the Gathering Place on 3rd ave N and the surrounding area to get across safely to play in a wonderful new greenspace. If there HAS to be more rentals in the area, this is the option I would pick because it has the least amount but still generated revenue from them and the senior complex. Please don't put in all rentals. Save our only green space in our neighbourhood.

Nothing. This flies directly in the face of the public feedback you were supposed to incorporate in to the proposals.

I like that idea that seniors are a part of the plan, with sports to watch. Nice walk and picnic area. Town houses that would be for sale ensure owners have an investment in keeping their area well cared for as well.

Nothing! Taking out mature beautiful trees to make a flat field is a waste of money! There is plenty of flat, open space elsewhere! Selling the land for profit is disgraceful! The senior's complex would be run by a privatized company who would charge up to $5000/month for seniors. That is criminal and does not benefit the greater good of all seniors. Our city is overrun with housing. The housing market is saturated. Stop building in our city's parks! And stop taking away services in this area! The library and community centre have already been taken and the golf course neglected by the city.

I don't think taxpayers can afford not to consider revenue-generating opportunities when they present themselves. This mix of housing and recreation acknowledges that fact.

I think all new developments should have housing for various demographics.

That it generates income for the City (rather than costing money).

all 3 scenerios are incorporated

The mixed use provides a richer life for people living here and in the area. More opportunities for people of various ages to interact.

I like that the plan includes seniors housing. The townhouse development should be for the homeless housing initiative.

None!

*Concept #4 Seniors’ Living + Townhouse Development + Recreation*
Revenue

It brings families and elder together allowing people to interact with one and other

Recreational facilities.

The spray pad and recreation and ability to house seniors and others. Financial viability.

We can always use more senior complexes as we are living longer and longer. Also think it makes sense to have townhouses for sure.

None

I love the fact that they have integrated seniors with single family dwellings and a rec facility for all to use. I am a baby boomer and think that Regina does need more seniors complexes to house the boomers up and coming.

I think concept 4 best combines the addition of a community amenity with the athletic field, playground and splash pad with the densification of the City. Brownfield development is a huge benefit to the City.

City needs revenue, not more expensive, a win win for all parties

Multi purpose and full use of land makes good sense. Housing provides an opportunity for ongoing future tax revenue. It's a large piece of land and it makes little sense to have only trees and grass (to be maintained by the city). Taxes are lower here than in many other parts of Regina so the location helps with housing affordability. Concept #4 appears to be the best option from both a utilization and a financial perspective.

Mixed living and community spaces are vital for a thriving city.

Green space and trees

All three. We desperately need affordable housing for Seniors as well as others. Hopefully that is what you have in mind. And we all need more park areas to enjoy.

Our population is aging and we need more places for them to live safely

Multigenerational. Generates the most revenue but helps out with housing crisis

I like that it combines different living styles and most of all offers something meaningful for seniors. I'd live there!

We need affordable senior complexes. The area also needs recreation areas for all to walk and play. A few affordable townhouses is also worthwhile.

Senors assisted living and green space used wisely so everyone can enjoy using the rec facilities.

Great mix of development! A nice balance for everyone!
The seniors housing - which is becoming more and more necessary as the population ages - and the recreation area. Also the revenue aspect - always better when taxpayers don't have to lay out any more in taxes.

This concept brings the most new residents, which I think will help revitalize our neighbourhood.

Seniors complex. It is soooo sad that pioneer village still functions. We need better facilities.

All of it

That it provides to seniors

I like the recreation portion and am ok with the seniors housing but please no more townhouses.

A combination of uses for the community to support all generations! A great mix to help support the needs of the many.

I like that you've kept all the recreational aspects & added a seniors assisted residence along with townhouses for families. It's a good combination. I understand this plan will create revenue, although I'm not sure why you don't require additional funds for building.

multi use options

The park and spray pad

That it's for seniors

2 - What elements of Concept #4 would you change?

townhouse development only be used for seniors 60+.

Nothing great plan and projected revenue!

Concept #4 Seniors' Living + Townhouse Development + Recreation
Powered by CheckMarket
Without an open grass area, the new residents will have no where to play. I would be satisfied if that whole alley was town houses vs the complex + houses. I'm not certain it is a great neighbourhood for seniors -- there are limited amenities for them (library, groceries, etc.).

Revenue isn't everything. Don't tear up beautiful green space and developed trees for housing. Put housing in the bare fields outskirts of the city

All of the housing and residences...parking would be very difficult with any significant sporting event on the field.

When are my streets getting cleaned?

Everything so get it done

This option makes an already crowded area even more crowded. The townhouses won't provide enough families with homes to make it worthwhile. The townhouses will either become over run with criminal activity or will be turned into condo's that are too pricey for those who need housing. I am totally against this option.

Too squished! Don't need the townhouses!

Same question as above .... See above

There is no need for housing developments in this area.

Is it a public or private senior home? Should be public

I like the overall concept of revitalizing the area and including green space, seniors and young families in townhouses. I believe all could potentially benefit.

PLEASE NO TOWN HOUSES

I do not like the townhouses. The green space is very small in this concept.

It has it all look and design

Remove all commercial developments.

All of it.

The only food-for-thought I would like to offer is that it would good to have something in place that can be used recreationally in the winter (skating rink, hill for sledding)

Picnic area should be included closer to the pool.

All

Concept #4 Seniors’ Living + Townhouse Development + Recreation
No developers should be allowed to profit off this long time green area.

The integration of multi-generational use facilities. The seniors facility and the housing are around an open public space. It should be a balanced area.

Good combination and use of green space and living accommodations.

Don't make it so expensive for seniors to move into. I know many seniors who can't afford & therefore suffer.

None

DOG PARK FENCED

No more condos or townhouses Regina has too many already, what we lack are recreation spaces and opportunities.

Looks good the way it is.

I have concerns about parking with this many people living in this space.

This is too much housing for the area changing it to a high traffic area very close to the train tracks. This housing will be hard to fill and add to the crime rate in the area.

There is such a lack of affordable living for seniors & even more of a lack of nursing homes in this city. Seniors are being sent outside the city to nursing homes in small towns bc there is nowhere for them to go within the city. So sad. The space should be used to replace pioneer village.

Nothing

Not sure

Added comments in previous question

Would need more parking incorporated

Nothing

I would take a survey on what recreational would look like. I would vote to have the number one growing sport for seniors (pickleball courts). It looks like the east end of Regina will get courts, so courts are needed in the west side.

City council and mayor next election

nothing

Ensure money derived from sale of the land for housing is used to fix the pool.
I question if seniors would want to live so close to high crime areas ie Elphinstone St to Albert St south of the tracks or Elphinstone St west to McIntosh St. Would the seniors feel at all safe walking the new pathways or enjoying the picnic area? As for the use of these recreational facilities I like to see facilities that are less prone to vandalism ie fields for various uses rather than basketball courts that seem to incur damage to backboards/rims and playground equipment that is in constant need of repair due to misuse/vandalism. As for spray pads, I am totally against this misuse of our valuable water resources. Very wasteful! We should be leaders in this area - showing our youth that water is to be conserved rather than wasted on something that causes the water to just evaporate in the heat. If parents want to give their children the enjoyment of a summer refresher in the water they can set up a sprinkler attached to their own garden hose. If that doesn't meet their requirements, perhaps they can take their children down to the new water park in Wascana Park when it is completed - but then that would require payment! Townhouses - here we go again - more townhouses!! When is the city going to let up on this?? You are destroying the value of the existing housing market with all the LOW COST JUNK built by GREEDY builders who salivate and rub their hands together as they pad their pockets with all the government subsidies/tax relief!! NO MORE TOWNHOUSES!!

None

Be sure to keep ample green space w plenty of trees. Don't forget parking!

There should be a dog park included in this, or any, of the plans you adopt. There is major demand for more dog parks and the two that exist (well there is really only one significant one) is grossly overused.

Senior complexes tend to be well kept so not a bad option. The townhouses will depreciate quickly. More green space would be nice over cheap small townhouses.

Lots of lighting of pathways

I would add a disc golf course. Regina only has one course in the other end of the city. The park would benefit from the increased foot traffic. Disc golf has already positively impacted Douglas park. Adding another course in the city would bring Regina back up to modern day. Saskatoon has three courses and Regina barely has one. In the previous report, no one reported wanting housing. I'm surprised to see it come up on most of these options. It doesn't seem like the association is listening or cares about what the people want.

LARGE BUILDINGS, HOUSES AND PARKING LOTS... THIS IS THE EXACT OPPOSITE OF THAT THE VAST MAJORITY OF PEOPLE INVOLVED THROUGH YOUR COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT EXERCISES HAVE BEEN IN FAVOUR OF. ACCORDING TO YOUR OWN COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT REPORT, 76% OF RESPONDENTS DESIRED NO HOUSING OR DEVELOPMENT, AND 82% WANTED TO IMPROVE THE RECREATIONAL POTENTIAL OF THE SITE. THIS PLAN SERVES NEITHER OF THOSE GOALS. THIS MAKES IT SEEM LIKE THE ENTIRE ENGAGEMENT PROCESS WAS A SHAM, WITH THE CITY IGNORING THE COMMUNITY MEMBERS AND DOING WHATEVER THEY WANT ANYWAY... AS HIGHLIGHTED BY THE HIGHLIGHTING OF THE COST/REWARD IN EVERY SCENARIO, AND THE CHOICE TO INCLUDE THE MOST EXPENSIVE OF THE POSSIBLE RECREATION OPTIONS, INSTEAD OF THOSE THAT WERE POPULAR AT PREVIOUS ENGAGEMENT MEETINGS AND THE CITY'S OWN SURVEY.

Find room for a play area for children.

Concept #4 Seniors' Living + Townhouse Development + Recreation
No spray park

Spray parks are not necessary so close to the pool.

I am unsure if this has already been incorporated, but I would like to see the new townhouses remain as low income housing, relocating people already within the community to the new homes as much as possible.

Expand Recreation Facilities to accommodate increasing aging senior population

I would recommend some form of wall or barrier on McKinley Ave on south side of road to help reduce noise from train and stop pedestrians from crossing tracks.

Nothing.

Don't back down on the value of the city land. It is all the taxpayers of the Regina's land and we deserve fair compensation for the land.

Nothing.

In Concept #2 the seniors' housing had a wing that went to the south, this is not in this plan, it looks like you couldn't do that in this plan, but you should be able to extend the seniors' housing to the east (north of the pinic area) to make additional senior's housing and more efficient use of the land available.

All of it. I'd scrap it completely and go with concept #1.

Nothing, as long as the seniors living us affordable for those trying to survive on government pensions only.

Build a new hockey rink complex

Get the townhouses OUT of there. Townhouses are going up everywhere. We DO NOT want to use one of the last big undeveloped pieces of city owned land in Regina for still more townhouses. Give your head a shake!

This neighbourhood cannot afford to add any more low-income/rental style housing units. There are already several locations and the rest of the owned properties are starting to turn into rentals as a result.

Nothing.

Hmmm. Something wrong with THIS question!!! I DO NOT like this plan with even more housing. They paved paradise and put up housing and a parking lot. Get real. The Regent Par three has been neglected for years, and now, likely because it is under utilized, you want to sell it off. If this green space is well maintained - like the Lakeview Par 3 course - it will become the jewel of this part of the city.

Public washrooms if you want families to actually use the area.
I like a lot about this one. But what about public wash rooms? You have a sports area, play area, picnic area but where does one go to the washroom if they are in the area (especially kids)? I noticed none of the concepts had any wash rooms.... strange if you want to encourage families to stay and use the area.

This is a great place for the new YWCA, too bad there was no consultation done like this for the Lucy Eley Park and old Victoria School parcel.

Is this supposed to be “do not like”?

SAVE THE PAR 3

I like that if successful it creates surplus instead of deficit.

Is it this the same question as above?

Nothing

Not sure that I would change any of the elements, except for strict controls on the design/build for both the Senior's Complex and the Townhouses. They should be in keeping with the neighbourhood & not be extravagant nor shoddy. Not sure if it is possible, but perhaps a resident council could be pulled together from the North West Community Associations to review the design/build for both the Senior's Complex & the Townhouses to offer some input.

I like the concept as shown and described.

Have it affordable

I do not like the additional townhouses.

Please consider the exercise and recreation needs of the many dog owners of the area of the city served by this space. With 7.5 acres of recreational space available, a 1.5 - 2 acre off-leash dog park, fully fenced and available year round, is not difficult to incorporate. This is not a zero-sum - there is enough space for all activities AND an off-leash area. Locating it in the north east corner will have no impact on existing or proposed residential; an L-shaped space, with trees and paths, in the north-east corner seems possible with only minor changes to the current plan. With a large # of senior residences already existing, and vacancy rates climbing while house sales and values drop, is it realistic that a developer/s will want to invest so much in this location, with train tracks so close by? Since the amount of dollars that can be leveraged from the space is very dependent on the willingness of a developer to pay for that land, I think this is a reasonable concern. Additionally, there is very limited parking for additional residential/recreational development. Athletic fields, seniors residences, residences, all increase parking needs. Congested street-only parking is a safety and access concern to the residents in the area as well as to users of the recreational space. Check Kings Road during weekend use of the park there, or the challenges for residents in the stadium area for examples of these concerns when parking is not well planned.

Revise to include a secure off-leash dog park.

Lack of dog park.

Concept #4 Seniors’ Living + Townhouse Development + Recreation
I would change the large playing field. Large sports fields attract large numbers of vehicles, which may detract from the neighbourhood. I would use that area for multiple smaller recreation areas like disc golf, cricket, badminton, volleyball, outdoor exercise equipment, etc.

Over three quarters of the residents expressed that they do not want any type of residential development or buildings in the park, in favour of natural green space... Now we see 3 of the 4 options including buildings and all of them cutting out a huge portion of the existing trees. It kind of seems like those involved with the development committee are looking for a feather in their cap, with the flashiest most expensive projects possible. I would have preferred to see those involved listen to what the residents wanted... even if it is less impressive when looking for your next jobs.

Less trees blocking the view of the park on McKinley st for safety of park goers.

None

It would be nice to see something recreational that can be used all year long.

Please keep the Golf course open. New builds in Regina have slowed down.

Too congested and there are too many town houses in this area already. Skip the town houses and add a fenced dog park.

Be sure to have high fences behind the far sides of the soccer field.

NO HOUSING add a washroom take out the parking spaces and driving roads add disk golf more and better path system

Get rid of the Townhouse Development. I'd change it to be Concept #2 or Concept #1.

Minimizes the size of the open park area

Losing parkland in the city is problematic so I would propose that the field area be made into a general park area instead of a sports field. Perhaps with some facilities for children and the splash pad but mostly retaining the park area for walking and recreation. Either way, this is the best of the four options.

None

I would leave out the townhouses. We don't need any more townhouses in this area.

None

Instead of spending so much on recreational components, repurpose the area next to the Assisted Living Complex and Townhouse as an off-leash dog park. The Estimated Revenue Generated will increase by the same amount that we decrease spending on recreational components; this could result in up to $3.2M Estimated Revenue Generated depending on how much we spend in returning the remaining land to natural trails.

No buildings keep all of the green space as it is.

Concept #4 Seniors' Living + Townhouse Development + Recreation
This is the least attractive concept. Way too much space is being sold and used for living quarters. More public space is needed to be kept for the public and community.

This is too cluttered and adds too many people to the neighbourhood, contributing to parking issues.

Nothing I can think of, though need to ensure adequate parking for the new housing the seniors home is in the corner. If they need to take the bus it's quite the walk to Safeway or to Elphinestone street. Bus would need to turn in there for easier access and for safety at night. That area can be a little sketchy.

I think it's perfect.

Add a dog park or outdoor gym

Remove the townhomes entirely. Expand the walking paths.

no rentals.

Remove the housing. Listen to the people. We want park space. Developers do not prioritize it, so you have to be the ones keeping this city something to be proud of.

Add an off-leash dog park. There is plenty of room to add a dog park and still have space for all the other recreation opportunities.

Don't sell off green space in our inner city. We could really change how people perceive our area and the misconceptions people may have regarding this city by making it more attractive for all citizens to use. Please make a dog park, or walking paths with benches and lights, or disc golf played all year round. Our city needs more attractions and ways to be active!

Enclose a portion of the recreation area for an off-leash dog park. I believe seeing the dogs -- and perhaps interacting with them -- would add to quality of life for the seniors.

No need for more townhouses, assisted living care only if necessary.

I would go a step further and have a daycare for children in the senior area and have mixed housing so that the town houses are more incorporated into the senior housing to encourage a natural support community.

Remove the multipurpose athletic field and replace it with a fully fenced off-leash dog park. Yes. It would cost far less than maintaining an athletic field. The vehicle traffic to the residential area would be less of a strain. The off-leash area would be a crime deterrent for the area (dog owners would be there using the park and this would deter crime when the athletic field was not being used). I'm not making this up. Other cities have done this very thing to reduce crime in areas with a chronic problem. Crime is a problem in this area and an off-leash area would be part of the solution to this. And, the City would be fulfilling a promise made many years ago: to provide more off-leash areas. The City has deflected this onto the developers but this has not worked. Now is the time. Please.
none there's ample senior living townhouses and recreational space

Nothing

All concepts need better access to public transit routes. Better access to amenities such as stories for shopping, banks etc. Parking may also become an issue along Mackinley Ave. with the public accessing to the sports field and other recreational areas.

NO TOWN HOUSES!!!

No more townhouses or crappy condos. The city has already crippled the housing market!

None

I would look at having a mini golf course within the park and and some type of focal point like a water fall or fountain

Make some cute tiny homes to end homelessness and poverty. Affordable housing!

I am not sure if the plan is to get rid of the pool. If not....don't need a splash pad.

Move the Aquatic Destination Facility Concept from Wascana Park to Regent Park. Refurbish or rebuild a 50 m Pool at Wascana.

I don't think I would change anything but that they would make sure that in the rec facility there were things that seniors could do as well.

I would combine the splash pad and playground into one combined area similar to the playground and splash pad at the southeast leisure centre. It's fantastic.

None

Nothing.

All of the development. Expensive senior living and more townhomes is not what this city needs.

Room for an off leash SMALL dog park. Seniors enjoy watching them play too.

Looks good the way it is

Who plays tennis now a days?!

Can't think of or see anything that I would want to change right now.

None
Regent Par 3 Redevelopment Options Feedback Form Results:

Below is a transcription of the hand-written feedback submissions that were received by the City at the April 16th public engagement at the Gathering Place, and subsequently via Canada Post.

CONCEPT #1 RECREATION ONLY

What Elements of this design option do you like?

Responses:

1st Choice, The most green space of the 4 options
Like green space, spray pad, play structure, walking path. Need pathways
Looks good to me, don’t know how splash park will go over with pool. Would be ok to me but they better keep the pool open for years down the road
Majority of green space was kept
I like that the green space was kept and maintained
Not enough rec. things for kids + families
It allows for a more appropriate amount of greenspace needed for this neighbourhood size and population
Green space preserves natural habitat. Cost seems outrageous. Couldn’t just keep more natural trees that are already there to decrease costs.
I like a green space
The dog park, field, picnic tables
Everything but the football field
The fact that it provides an open green space and rec area for the condensed population in the area. More trees the better
Keeps existing green space. Opens area, gives youth more activities. Helps to promote healthy lifestyle
No housing, still some trees.
The whole thing, just as it shows
Lots of green space, good amenities, would strongly encourage connection to pedestrian connection
I like the fact about all the green space left however this would not be my first choice

A very nice green space and walking paths although I think the walking paths would not be used to its full potential

Multi-purpose athletic field. Keeping the green space, trees are excellent for absorbing greenhouse gases

Opening the fence creates access to green space for community. More outdoor rec is better and much needed for this community

Multipurpose athletic field, splash pad, picnic areas, playground

Not a choice at all for us

The residents in this area get to keep their green space. We have very little of it in this area

Retains the most amount of green space for public recreational use

I like this concept (#1) because it is kept totally as a recreational + green space! This is the only concept that I am in favour of.

Playground, athletic field, trees, picnic area, walking paths, splash pad

I like keeping the green space but would prefer a passive park area with disc golf, splash pad + park.

I like no housing. I like walking path. I like the concept of No Housing on Valuable Greenspace. Everything

I like the preservation of most of the trees and open green space. I hope that maintenance of the area would improve.

I like this concept, keep it a greenspace. Your cost is 10 times the estimated (out to lunch)

The beauty of the greenspace

I like the idea where people can go to relax play enjoy being outside.

CONCEPT #1 RECREATION ONLY

What Elements of this design option would you change?

Responses:

I would love to just keep the golf course and maintain if so we can use it

Add some lighting to this lonely green space

This is perfect if the city can commit financially to support the wellbeing of the residents
Keep more trees
I wouldn’t change much
I would add 3-4 bocce ball courts
Add a fenced area for a dog park many many people use ACVT ball diamonds as dog park already
We really need a dog park in this area
The picnic area should include BBQs if it goes this way
Add a feature that appeals to older youth – take out splashpad and add a skatepark. This is a more costly option but beneficial for youth
Take out the huge multi-purpose athletic field. That is no place for children to play – not what was suggested at the earlier meetings. An athletic field would be only for certain activities and cause more traffic.
None
Expand alley into a street if possible
I like concept #2
Incorporate the spray pad in the area next to the existing pool so the participants could use the pool or spray pad at will.
Multipurpose athletic field is not necessary. Whole thing could be turned into a dog park as well – there is only one in this whole city – not up to par with other cities. Cost could be decreased.
None of it
Why do we have to lose the existing golf course? This could still be managed by an outside company as is done with the Lakeview golf course. The multipurpose athletic field would need a parking lot?
I would simply renovate the golf course. I might also have a small parking lot as part of the plan
Maybe add more leisure / picnic / bocce ball courts etc. to the plan. I like the multipurpose athletic field.
None
Why cut down so many mature trees. Put in a disc golf course – save a million + leave it open for more people to use.
I would change to the disc golf proposal – it is less money & I would like to see a bocce ball pitch included. Keep the golf course + maintain it. It was left to deteriorate. We don’t want the multipurpose field; its not needed there. The local councilor has said the $s from selling the
land the Pasqua Neighbourhood Centre occupied would be retained for recreational uses in this area. Use it to support maintenance of the disc golf proposal.

Larger playground area, maybe surrounding splash pad. Leave all the trees

A slightly larger picnic area would be nice

I would leave the trees & the golf course alone and advertise the golf course as a training space for future golfers & have it maintained and open in the day as well as the evening.

This is a summer only place. How about a skating rink & a warm-up shack?

CONCEPT #2 SENIORS’ ASSISTED LIVING + RECREATION FACILITIES

What Elements of this design option do you like?

Responses:

3rd choice. The green space, parks, spray pad, pathways

Recreation facilities, spray pad play structure, walking path

Not much!

Nothing, any building is not acceptable

None

No

I like that it brings elders into the community. they are our true teachers. they hold the truth. we have townhouses. Its bringing all the generations together. it creates balance

Has a method to generate revenue to put into the redevelopment, senior centre will always prosper in times of economic downturn where as townhouses would not, lots of development sits empty.

*I love this one the best! It’s a great use of space for seniors living and provides space for our youth. Please plant a few trees to replace those being cut down.

Don’t like

Some senior housing is okay as long as it isn’t commercial.

Nothing. Infrastructure would need to be added at much cost & disruption to the neighbourhood. The traffic is already too heavy on McKinley, 500 block King 1st Avenue North and 400,300,200, 500 blocks of Wascana Street. It is a freeway.

No
Love it – I would suggest the city talk to the province about rebuilding Pioneer Village over here. Good amount of trees

1st Choice. I like the assisted living senior home the most. Plus the recreation facilities with an added athletic field

The idea of seniors housing is a great plan hopefully with many levels of care for a permanent place for seniors to live.

None

There is a shortage of multi-level care homes for seniors. Green space is somewhat preserved. Multipurpose athletic field, playground, splash pad

Supports the need for affordable senior living. There are two adults filling in this survey. This is choice #1 for one of us & choice #2 for the other person

I don’t want housing of any sort (as explained on the back)

None

Not in favour of housing

Senior assisted living is good but we need more housing for seniors that need more care

Splash pad + playground

Nothing

Not much

No

The trees should be saved – help to clean the air and provide shade and place for birds to nest.

We have needed an assisted senior living complex for a long time. This would allow us to remain in our own neighbourhood where we have friends, our church, doctor’s office & groc. shopping

CONCEPT #2 SENIORS’ ASSISTED LIVING + RECREATION FACILITIES

What Elements of this design option would you change?

Responses:

The # of units seem high and using McKinley Ave for parking for 54 stalls will limit the ability for others to park to use the green space

Make senior’s housing affordable if you must make another building
Take out building revert to option #1

Take out the assisted living complex and just keep the green space and trees

No building

No

I am not in favour of building any housing units on the green space

Maybe it still needs some room for picnic tables and BBQs so families can enjoy these activities too

It's all good. Most cost effective so is the best option

No change, just ensure the seniors living is affordable to everyone and not private

The road going out to 1st avenue north would increase traffic on an already busy rod with a dangerous junction (1st and King). Still no dog park

Take out the housing and multipurpose athletic field. Add disc golf and a dog park, community gardens, barbeques + picnic areas

Expand alley on west to be an actual street

Would like to see the senior complex housing include all levels 1-4, or would it be possible to purchase suites in senior complex & once person has passed away to re-sell to another senior & so forth

None

Keep the space green – no development

*must be SHA – do not rob SK Seniors. No need for giant athletic field. Seniors’ complex does not tell us that is with the Sask Health Authority or who is benefiting other than private senior homes lining their pockets with seniors’ retirement savings. Not okay.

No buildings

No assisted living complex

Remove the complex leave it all green

Not in favour of housing

Put in a building to house seniors that need more care like pioneer village

Loosing green space to development would be disappointing

Not a really long enough walking path for realistic recreation. An assisted living complex is not appropriate for a
Not enough recreation area, make it larger

No buildings & parking lots. Open green space has shrunk

The centre for seniors – they need a peaceful setting not noisy, horn tooting trains day and night. The sport multi-purpose will bring a lot of noise as well.

There are not enough parking places. There should be one for each suite as well as visitors parking onf McKinley is ok for visitors

CONCEPT #3 TOWNHOUSES + RECREATION FACILITIES

What Elements of this design option do you like?

Responses:

  2\textsuperscript{nd} Choice. Still large amount of open space. Park, spray pad, paths
  
  No further housing. Green space only
  
  None!
  
  Nothing other than the green space
  
  None
  
  No
  
  Nothing, except that it still does have green space
  
  Don’t like
  
  Nothing that includes housing
  
  See #2
  
  No
  
  Good green space

Absolutely do not want more townhouses! So I am not in favour of this concept #3

Not very likely that the townhouses should be very affordable

Nothing – no townhouses – there is plenty of infill lots in all areas of the city

None –

Multi-purpose athletic fie3ld, playground, splashpad

Not a choice at all for us

I don’t’ want housing of any sort (as explained on the back)
None
Not in favour of housing
None
Splash pad + playground – why not put splash pad next to pool?
Nothing – these are NOT sellable properties
Row housing facing back alleys – interesting view. Who do you think is going to buy them?
No
The trees
Sorry, I do not have anything positive to say about this plan.

CONCEPT #3 TOWNHOUSES + RECREATION FACILITIES

What Elements of this design option would you change?

Responses:
The new parking space on McKinley – what would that look like.
No further housing City has too much vacant housing already
Take out buildings; add some benches and lighting as all would be great
No Building, just green space
No building
No
I am not in favour of building any housing units on the green space
Less paved area if townhouse are a must. Townhouses will taint the natural areas.
Get rid of houses. Too many houses for sale in Regina now – we don’t need more
See#2
Too much housing – have less or less spread out
No townhouses should be allowed
Our neighbourhood does not need more townhouses
No buildings
No housing
Remove the townhouses, leave it all green

Not in favour of housing

No townhouses we have too many in this area already

Townhouses – City is already over built. Keep the green space

Don’t like the housing in there at all. Ensure the swimming pool is retained

Everything – too crowded, does the cost include building + maintaining “Queen Street” – not currently in place

Remove the row houses – take far too much of the green space

No Townhouses. Traffic is heavy as it is without adding 38 units – 57 private parking – Queen Street will suffer from parking

All of it! More townhouse in our neighbourhood is not acceptable.

CONCEPT #4 SENIORS’ ASSISTED LIVING + TOWNHOUSES + RECREATION FACILITIES

What Elements of this design option do you like?

Responses:

4th Choice. Park, pathways, spraypad

Green space spray pad playground walking path no further housing

No building period!

Just the greenspace, no building of any form

None

No

Too much residential structures, so nothing.

Don’t like

All but housing

I would want this one as long as the housing is affordable

See #2

No

Good amount of green space but would be nice to have more
Not in favour of any townhouses in this Par 3 site

The senior citizens should be the number one concern where it is beside a field that people would be using for sports

Same as concept #3 no townhouses

None

Multi-purpose Athletic field, playground, splashpad

Shared between seniors and other age groups – There are two adults filling in this survey. This is choice #1 for one of use & choice #2 for the other person

No housing!

None

Not in favour of the housing

Senior complex more

Splash + playground

Don’t want housing development at all or an assisted living complex

Nothing, rec area is an afterthought

The mayor’s cry of ‘density’ is certainly plain to see here – why?

No

The kinsmen arena, the pool, basketball court, the trees

No townhouses!

CONCEPT #4 SENIORS’ ASSISTED LIVING + TOWNHOUSES + RECREATION FACILITIES

What Elements of this design option would you change?

Responses:

The community is giving up far too much green for development

No further housing, City is over built already

Once you have seniors apts. Plus all other then you rip up park to make available parking for all the cars etc. that will be invading this once tranquil space!

Just keep the green space, save the trees

No building
No

I am not in favour of building any housing units on the green space

Less townhouses if we’re to do this and less paved area

No housing – too many empty homes in Regina already. Why build more.

See #2

Too much housing

Axe the townhouses

See concept #3 comment – we do not want / need townhouses

No buildings

No housing!

Renovate the golf course

No townhouses. To many here already

Terrible to lose all the green space. There is very little demand for housing, so townhouses seem silly.

We don’t want housing development at all or an assisted living complex either.

Everything this is the absolute worst use of the land

Remove buildings. This is not prime real estate, but it is valuable green space for an area of the city that has very little recreation available.

Remove the senior centre and the townhouses along with eh parking stalls on site & keep queen street free of the parking stalls. That you only support the urban forest partially. Trees do not grow overnight.

The property is not big enough for this plan, the traffic congestion would be horrendous! This is not acceptable

OTHER FEEDBACK:

Responses:

Sized and style of the new parks and spray pad would be nice to know. Does the community have any option to raise funds to help build certain items – frisbee golf, park benches, etc...
What guarantee does the community have any land sales would result in upgrade green space
No further housing required in this city. We are over built aready. Need green space. Keep golf course but maintain it so we can use it. All pathways, playgrounds and spray pads are welcome.

Guess I can’t say it enough, no buildings period, unless it was for outdoor bathrooms like they have in Wascana park. Of course that could invite vandalism also, but maybe if they are locked up by early evening it may not be too bad. Majority of people I talked with here tonight do not want any builds of any kind. I think city wants to sell off land and make big bucks from some developer, then city can walk away and the area goes to shit. Have lived in area since 1977 an there have been a lot of bad changes over the years and its going downhill.

Concept 1 is basically the most promising of all 4 but the cost associatied quoted is really expensive when an organization has offered to develop the space at a cost of 20,000 which is not even a fraction of cost listed on here. Our house got flooded in 2015 and to take out all theses trees to put building on is very concerning. Our house is currently back that green space so building a structure in that area directly affects our lives as a whole. We enjoy watching fireworks during powwow every year, it this happens we won’t be able to do so going forward. We enjoy frequent visits from our friendly rabbits and birds in the summer time and even that will go away. We would like to keep the greenspace.

Keep the green space

This was very educational and informative. It was very welcoming. I have never been to the Gathering Place before with my family. I enjoyed coming.

I am president of the Regina Sports District of Sask Senior Fitness Asscc and we would like to see 3-4 courts for bocce ball. Each court is 80 feet long 13 feet wide. Ideally we would like to see the court made with artificial turf. We have 25-30 people that use this facility on a weekly basis. At present we have a permit from the City to use Kiwanis park for the months of May June & July.

Personally leave the Par 3. Do improvements to the Par 3 so that it becomes useable. If you want housing move it to Elphinstone St. Build a multiplex facility for swimming and skating with meeting rooms in the upper level and day care facilities included. The Par 3 could be made into a year round facility as well. Golf in summer, cross country in winter etc. You can do by clearing trees etc. Walking path on the outside & bridge etc. can still be implemented without redeveloping the par 3 but rather upgrading it.

I don’t want any buildings in this space.

In our area the streets & alleys are not maintained well. With more housing this will not help. There would be more traffic, and we already have problems with people speeding.

I think we are all aware of the futility of this exercise. Once I saw that option 4 made money I know which one the Council would go for. Someone in this city is getting rich with the continuous and unnecessary house building that continues to blight the city. Regina needs more houses like a fish needs a bicycle. Local people are unable to see their houses due to the (make someone rich) glut. I agree that more senior care is needed in the city but it should not be
commercial. Also the positioning on the plan makes me worry about the already very dangerous junction on 1st Ave n & King Street. It is time for Council to stop thinking of money and think of the people they are supposed to represent. Stop making someone rich at the expense of residents. Destroying trees is cutting out the lungs of the city. More pollution in an already dirty city.

I’m not a resident of this area but the consensus if that they prefer less development because of the over saturation of housing in Coronation. Green space is so important to people. Please listen to them.

I do not live in this area but keeping greenspace is very beneficial to every community. Having more townhouse or senior complexes pushed into the area takes out the existing space while creating more traffic and more condensed living that has been proven to raise crime rates. Keep the green space!

I think with all the concepts there are pros and cons. One thing I am concerned about is whether or not the housing is affordable. There is no point in having housing placed if it isn’t a guaranteed affordable housing complex. The seniors housing should be more than just housing. It should be an affordable level 1-4 care home. It is very difficult to find affordable seniors care homes in the City where the care is exceptional. Another concern: Although I am a huge supporter of the splashpad + playground I’m concerned about what will happen to the pool if revenue decreases due to the free splash pad. Families in this neighbourhood rely on the pool in the hot summer months to stay cool. The loss of the pool would be detrimental to the neighbourhood and I think there needs to be a plan setup to preserve it. It would be nice as well to have an off-leash dog park. There are not enough in the City and it would be nice to have another.

It doesn’t seem like the city is paying attention to the residents that have been a part of this process. Why? In the city's survey, 76% of people did not want any residential development. Yet, 3 of 4 ideas include housing! Disc golf was the number one choice for recreation facilities to be developed on site at 46%. Yet, it is present in none of the plans. 11 of 21 ideas drawn up at the community design workshop included disc golf. Still, nothing. Residents expressed strong interest in passive parks, green space, trails, picnic areas etc. all of which would preserve the ‘natural’ area + mature trees. Even the all rec option includes cutting down huge section of trees. Why??

Dog park would be amazing + much needed – walk around the community and listen to all the dogs. Public library would be appreciated by community and low income families. Take the fence down, add a path, let us use the space as is / lighting. Now townhouses / low income housing. ACT diamond is unsafe (ground uneven, etc.) this space could be used. Consider disc golf proposal please.

All 4 options have a future pedestrian connection. We like this. Good lighting in the green space. Walking paths in the green space. Reactivate a Regina Public Library. This area had the
Regent Park branch in the past. Take the fences down. Opens up the space. Make walking paths useable by bikes too.

The other 3 options a) remove green space from an area that desperately needs activities for kids in this area. B) we have very little green space as it is, adding more housing exacerbates the problem in a). c) putting housing in this area compounds a traffic problem already happening on Elphinstone. The railroad tracks are not moving anytime in our lifetime, so a traffic issue / sightlines issue will be an issue always. When we received the 1st e-mail back from the City last spring, none of the concepts created by residents included housing. Why are we being asked to review any concepts of this nature where we are asked to respond to concepts with housing?

The Lakeview Par 3 is run as a business successfully, and while I agree the demographics of the two area are very different, I feel the costs of renovating the Regent Par 3 have been over-simplified to support its abandonment. This course has suffered because nobody except people in the area know it exists. With a modicum of advertising, and reinstating fees for usage, it could become self-sustaining. If there was any interest from the city in retaining this jewel in Coronation’s crown. We don’t need to fit more people into an area that does not suffer from an overabundance of accessibility. While the 1st Ave N entrance to Lewan works well as an intersection now, increasing traffic flow will have an affect. And most will opt to use that intersection after they’ve tried to use the limited visibility intersection at McKinley and Elphinstone. You take your life in your hands trying to get onto Elphinstone there.

We want the disc golf proposal with city recreation funding just to support maintenance. Funds from the sale of the Pasqua Neighbourhood centre would provide the support. Alternately, retain the existing golf course and bring it back to the high level of condition it was years ago and maintain it as it should have been continuously. No housing please.

I would really like to know why the Mayor and City Council wish to erase this little green space from the communities of North Central and Coronation Park. We have so little for so many, and this space is a gem that could be improved and maintained for relatively low cost and provide perhaps a community garden corner for residents to grow vegetables, etc. There are a few vacant spots here and there in this city. Taylor field, bare ground not a tree to cut down. Rail yards on Dewdney another hot spot for development. Bible college another one slated for wrecking, but what will become of it? There is always the war zone that passes for broad street – once you get passed 4th avenue it is bad – Dewdney & Broad south – burned out shells and abandoned buildings. Lonely for a capital city isn’t it.

Keep it green

Have you done a traffic count on Elphinstone and 1st Avenue N & McKinley to see what the extra traffic from townhouses and workers at a care home would create. Elphinstone is getting busier. The train is very noisy and blocks traffic daily, several times a day and night – up to 10 minutes at a time. It really is not necessary, with signals at the crossing to blow the horn 4 times and sometimes more. Our city could push to have the track removed and get rid of the noise and fumes as they sit on the track banging the cars and roaring their engines. The golf course is
a great place where children and adults could learn to play and enjoy the game. Schools could bring the children to learn the sport. It helps if the place is open each day and evening. Parks throughout the city are maintained beautifully, why can’t ours be maintained. We do not need to fill in all the green spaces with housing.

We have Patricia Park to walk in, unfortunately, many people consider this to be an off-leash area for dogs. I no longer walk in the park, because of the dogs running loose and owners who do not clean up after their pet. I would hope any new recreational area would be a people park with NO DOGS ALLOWED. The Pasqua Rec Centre was a well used community centre for our neighbourhood... unfortunately, the city decided we do not need this facility, due to large $ in repairs needed, so they tore it down and built townhouses. Why not build a new rec centre on this land, as well as the senior housing? The rec centre would generate revenue, which seems to be the main focus of city council these days. A rec centre would provide year-round facility accommodation for youth groups as well as seniors programs. This in turn may help reduce crime in our area. More townhouse, rental properties mean more people, more frustration for young people during the winter months, seniors locked into their homes for a long time because they cannot access recreational facilities within a reasonable distance, at a reasonable cost. None of the ‘concept designs’ included a recreation centre. Perhaps there should be a ‘concept 5’.

Review of the Par 3 Redevelopment Project Plans

1. Review of Needed Reports

After reviewing the Recreation Master Plan, the Recreation Facilities Master Plan, the Community Profiles of the area, community engagement reports and various city master plans, some directions and going forward came apparent and what might be some logical directions on what should be done with the space identified as the Par 3 Redevelopment Project.

2. Missing Information

a. Cultural, Health and Recreational Context

There seemed to be a lot of preliminary discussion about the concept of bringing seniors housing into the mix of options. But the details were quite limited and just talked about the number of units. There was no discussion of what role this would play in the neighbourhood or what objective it would fulfill other than the very broad thoughts around complete neighbourhoods. There was no indication of a deficiency of seniors housing that was being fulfilled by this option, just simply more housing. The placement of the units tucked in the property rather than on McKinley Avenue, for instance, places them as being vulnerable due to lack of immediate access or egress.
If one was to consider some natural affinity of this facility to the Gathering Place across the storm channel, there did not seem to be any indications of building natural linkages other than a "potential" pedestrian bridge. There was no discussion about anything other than a "link" to the outside and that completion of thought would have to be determined by another committee or another report, i.e. where does the path go, is it connected to anything recreational or cultural.

One broader question that came up in some of the discussions was the provision of assisted living options to potentially replace the aging Pioneer Village Complex that is likely to be closed in the next 5-10 years. This aging facility is within 4 kilometres of the current project space so would fit within the current scope of the health district in this area, i.e. close to Pasqua Hospital. There was no indication as to whether the Health District had been contacted or whether this option would fulfill this potential need. With the propensity of the provincial government not to purchase land for any measure of assisted living facilities, the financial benefit seen in the options will not materialize.

b. Recreation Master Plan

Aging infrastructure, including many facilities that are nearing the end of their useful life, some of which no longer serve the needs they were originally established to fill.1

An aging and growing population, with more cultural diversity and a significant proportion of citizens who are of Aboriginal ancestry. These trends put pressure on existing facilities to serve a more diverse customer base, reflecting a wider range of needs, interests and expectations. 2


2 Recreation Master Plan, 2010, page 12

3 Recreation Facility Master Plan, 2010, Recommendation #27,

This would indicate that the area should be targeting youth, especially of Indigenous ancestry and an aging population within the neighbourhood. This would also indicate that work towards reducing significant barriers like storm channels, arterial roadways and railway lines should be part of the plan for the target populations.

c. Recreation Facility Master Plan

Any poor quality, underutilized diamonds would be decommissioned and savings would be reinvested in upgrading to provide higher quality diamonds to the inventory.3 Because of this point, the statement that we would be considering recreational facilities not integrated into other discussions about recreational facilities in the immediate area seemed to be out of the scope of this discussion. There seemed to be a "thou shall not speak" about the existing facilities just outside the boundaries of the project. And because of that, the idea that perhaps two or three underutilized baseball diamonds on the other side of the storm channel would be a better place to put the athletic field was not even considered an option.
The idea of adding more pedestrian bridges to underutilized space across the storm channel was not considered.

Similarly, there was a lot of discussion about putting in other recreation options like the disc golf that would be limited in cost and utilize the space effectively was not even given the time of day or considered at all.

Facilities will be able to accommodate diverse and changing needs and interests to create synergies in skill and interest development. Where appropriate, outdoor facilities will be designed for year-round use recognizing Regina as a winter city.4

Facilities that provide opportunities for all generations will be preferred over facilities that serve a targeted generation; as such, spaces within multi-use facilities may be established to target the needs of a particular generation or other segment of the population.5

Where possible, facilities will be planned in a strategic location and will be connected to other municipal amenities through pathways, on-street bike routes and public transit. Facilities will also be designed in a manner that minimizes barriers to participation, including physical, economic, cultural and transportation.6

4 Recreation Facility Master Plan, 2010, Guiding Principles, page 18

5 Ibid.

6 Ibid.

The Recreation Facility Master Plan would appear to dictate that this bunch of facilities should be clustered, accessible to the target community and diverse so as to make it a common year round destination for many groups of individuals as well as providing some potential for unstructured play and safety. The current proposal also seems to be disproportionately focused entirely on summer recreation and as such missing some seasonal supports.

The Winter Design Guidelines are comprehensive. For the sake of simplicity, however, the five main principles of winter city design are:

1. Incorporate design strategies to block wind, particularly prevailing winds and down drafts.

2. Maximize exposure to sunshine through orientation and design.

3. Use colour to enliven the winterscape.

4. Create visual interest with light, while being mindful of intensity, spread, contrast and colour.

5. Design and provide infrastructure that supports desired winter life and improves comfort and access in cold weather.

The five main principles are applied in all contexts throughout these guidelines. Multiple examples are provided for how to apply the principles in all scales, from building to site to
neighbourhood. The following image demonstrates how all five principles can be used in one space.7


There appears to be limited integration of winter use into the proposals, thereby limiting the use of the space to one, perhaps two seasons. The idea that this space could be utilized through the entire year was not contemplated in the proposals.

3. Community Input

a. Community Consultation

Although there have been consultations in the past, the attempts in the last round of consultations is to limit discussion of alternatives to the current list of options, not to deal with the current demands of the residents of the area. Besides the null hypothesis of not doing anything to the space, the intent is to pour more money into an area and not really solve problems.

Within the context of recreation, very little was being presented beyond conventional game sports or current options. Most of these were summer sports or those in other parts of the city. None were shown in the context of their surroundings or in situ. Most appeared to be stock pictures from somewhere else.

4. Interim Recommendations

a. There should be no housing provided on the project space.

b. Limit the development of recreational facilities so as to reduce maintenance and long term infrastructure.

c. Provide multiple methods, at least two, of crossing the storm channel between Lewvan Drive and Elphinstone Street optimally splitting the channel into three pieces. This should be coordinated with the development of the field on the north side of the storm channel.

d. Reduce or eliminate 2 or 3 baseball diamonds and replace with a year round recreation field. This could be accommodated in the A.C.T. Park space. Discussions should begin to establish feasible timeline.

e. Add additional play structure space that is accessible to all.

f. Limit the water play structures to only those useful for small children.

g. Add additional paved courts near the current ones either for the use of basketball, lacrosse or pickle ball.
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Provide a continuous pathway through the wooded area of the park with appropriate rest stops, water stations and picnic tables. This pathway should be connected to Elphinstone Street, McKinley Avenue, King Street, the Gathering Place, the Bright Beginnings Early Childhood Centre and south of the CN railway.

i. Build into the open space some low rise hills so as to allow for winter recreation options like tobogganing and skiing.

j. Limit the parking space so as to optimize it for local recreation use.